

CDP
Brightstar Lottery
Questionnaire 2025

C.1 Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> English
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> USD
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.	
(1.3.2) Organization Type	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Publicly traded organization
(1.3.3) Description of Organization	<i>The Company is an innovative, forward-thinking global leader in lottery that builds on our renowned expertise in delivering secure technology and producing reliable, comprehensive solutions for our customers. As a premier pure play global lottery company, our best-in-class lottery operations, retail and digital solutions, and award-winning lottery games enable our customers to achieve their goals, fulfill player needs and distribute meaningful benefits to communities. The Company has a well-established local presence and is a trusted partner to governments and regulators around the world, creating value by adhering to the highest standards of service, integrity, and responsibility. As of December 31, 2024, the Company had 6,025 employees.</i>
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.	<p>End date of reporting year: 12/30/2024</p> <p>Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?	2512000000
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.	<p>Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier? <i>ISIN code - bond</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier? <i>ISIN code - equity</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier	GB00BVG7F061 – NYSE

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier? <i>CUSIP number</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier? <i>Ticker symbol</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier? <i>SEDOL code</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier? <i>LEI number</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier? <i>D-U-N-S number</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier	220248928
(1.6.2) Other unique identifier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Peru <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chile <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Greece <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Israel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Latvia <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sweden <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monaco <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Belgium <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Czechia <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Serbia <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ireland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bulgaria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jamaica <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portugal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romania <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slovakia <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ukraine <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Argentina <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Barbados <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Australia <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gibraltar

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Philippines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Singapore <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Switzerland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Costa Rica <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> South Africa <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Luxembourg <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dominican Republic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Netherlands <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antigua and Barbuda <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Trinidad and Tobago <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saint Kitts and Nevis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sint Maarten (Dutch part) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
(1.24.1) Value chain mapped	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain.
(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upstream value chain <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Downstream value chain
(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tier 1 suppliers
(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tier 2 suppliers
(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage	<i>The Company undertook a process of mapping its value chain to conduct a materiality analysis in accordance with Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) standards. This process required a careful identification of all stages of the value chain, from raw material sourcing to product lifecycle management. Through a constructive dialogue with stakeholders, the Company was able to determine the most relevant and significant issues, both for the company and its stakeholders.</i>
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?	<p>Plastics mapping:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to within the next two years</p> <p>Primary reason for not mapping plastics in your value chain:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No standardized procedure</p> <p>Explain why your organization has not mapped plastics in your value chain:</p> <p><i>The Company has not implemented standardized procedures to map where plastic is produced, commercialized and used.</i></p>

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

<p>(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?</p>	<p>Short-term (2.1.1) From (years) 0 (2.1.3) To (years) 1 (2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning: <i>This multi-time horizons approach provides a holistic perspective on environmental risks and opportunities as they relate to the Company's operations and allows understanding of the full range of potential impacts that environmental risk and opportunities may have on business. The short-term time period adopted is the same as the reporting period in its financial statements. Also, the time frame permits to monitor potential binding national regulations that are issued on a yearly basis.</i></p>
	<p>Medium-term (2.1.1) From (years) 2 (2.1.3) To (years) 4 (2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning: <i>This multi-time horizons approach provides a holistic perspective on environmental risks and opportunities as they relate to the Company's operations and allows understanding of the full range of potential impacts that environmental risk and opportunities may have on business. The long-term time horizon is more than 5 years. This time horizon also includes behavioral change that takes more time to evolve.</i></p>

<p>(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?</p>	<p>Process in place <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts</p>
<p>(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?</p>	<p>Process in place <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts</p> <p>Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
<p>(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.</p>	
<p>Row 1 (2.2.2.1) Environmental issue</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change
<p>(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dependencies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Impacts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Opportunities
<p>(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upstream value chain <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Downstream value chain
<p>(2.2.2.4) Coverage</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Full
<p>(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tier 1 Suppliers
<p>(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative and Quantitative
<p>(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually
<p>(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Short-term <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium-term <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Long-term
<p>(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process
<p>(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> National
<p>(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used</p>	<i>Enterprise Risk Management</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enterprise Risk Management

	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal company methods</p> <p><i>International methodologies and standards</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard</p> <p><i>Other</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Desk-based research</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External consultants</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal company methods</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality assessment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :ESRS</p>
<p>(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered</p>	<p><i>Acute physical</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Avalanche</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wildfires</p> <p><i>Chronic physical</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increased severity of extreme weather events</p> <p><i>Policy</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon pricing mechanisms</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes to national legislation</p> <p><i>Market</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changing customer behavior</p> <p><i>Reputation</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Impact on human health</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback</p> <p><i>Technology</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transition to lower emissions technology and products</p> <p><i>Liability</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-compliance with regulations</p>
<p>(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customers</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employees</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Suppliers</p>

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulators <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local communities
(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(2.2.2.16) Further details of process	<p><i>During 2023, risk analysis was enhanced to progressively align with financial materiality analysis required by the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), drawing on benchmark analysis, international standards, and guidance from the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS) to also include ESG risks related matters. The methodology for identifying, assessing, and managing dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities was grounded in the framework outlined by ESRS 2. Therefore, the assessment was centered around the connections of impacts and dependencies with the risks and opportunities that may arise as a result, as well as assessing the likelihood, magnitude, and nature of effects of the identified risks and opportunities. To this end, the Company has implemented an analysis to determine the financial significance of sustainability issues that may not be captured in standard financial reporting. This effort aims to effectively incorporate emerging risks that may have a long-term impact on the Company such as human rights, environmental compliance, and more. These issues, which can manifest as risks or opportunities affecting future cash flows and enterprise value, may arise from past or anticipated events. The assessment of these risks and opportunities included an evaluation of their magnitude and likelihood, as well as their interdependencies with respect to the Company. They may relate to assets and liabilities recognized in financial reports or to factors contributing to enterprise value that do not meet traditional accounting criteria but are integral to the Company's overall value creation. These factors, often referred to as "capitals" in frameworks promoting a multi-capital approach, can trigger financial effects in two main ways: by influencing the Company's access to</i></p>

	<p><i>necessary resources for its operations or by impacting its relationships with key stakeholders. The assessment of impact materiality and financial materiality, in accordance with the ESRS framework, are intertwined, recognizing that sustainability impacts may become financially significant over time. Beyond assessing the direct financial implications of its actions, the Company is also evaluating how sustainability factors external to its operations affect the Company. The process took into account several environmental, social and governance related issues, among which climate change. The UK Companies Act 2006 does not require the analyses to be performed every year, but only if there are significant changes to the company or to the assumptions underneath the calculations, or at least every three years. For this reason, the analyses were confirmed for 2024. In 2025, the company was demerged following the sale of IGT's Gaming and Digital business ("IGT Gaming"), leaving the former IGT Lottery as its only remaining business. It was, nonetheless, possible to isolate the analyses results performed in 2023 related to the lottery division alone.</i></p>
--	--

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dependencies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Impacts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks
(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upstream value chain <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Downstream value chain
(2.2.2.4) Coverage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Full
(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tier 1 suppliers
(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative and quantitative
(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually
(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Short-term <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium-term <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Long-term
(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process
(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used	<p><i>Enterprise Risk Management</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enterprise Risk Management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal company methods <p><i>International methodologies and standards</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard <p><i>Other</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Desk-based research <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External consultants <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal company methods <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality assessment
(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered	<p><i>Acute physical</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Avalanche <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wildfires <p><i>Chronic physical</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increased severity of extreme weather events <p><i>Policy</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon pricing mechanisms <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes to national legislation <p><i>Market</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changing customer behavior <p><i>Reputation</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Impact on human health

	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback</p> <p><i>Technology</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transition to lower emissions technology and products</p> <p><i>Liability</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-compliance with regulations</p>
(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employees <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Suppliers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulators <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local communities
(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(2.2.2.16) Further details of process	<p><i>During 2023, risk analysis was enhanced to progressively align with financial materiality analysis required by the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD), drawing on benchmark analysis, international standards, and guidance from the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS) to also include ESG risks related matters. The methodology for identifying, assessing, and managing dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities was grounded in the framework outlined by ESRS 2. Therefore, the assessment was centered around the connections of impacts and dependencies with the risks and opportunities that may arise as a result, as well as assessing the likelihood, magnitude, and nature of effects of the identified risks and opportunities. To this end, the Company has implemented an analysis to determine the financial significance of sustainability issues that may not be captured in standard financial reporting. This effort aims to effectively incorporate emerging risks that may have a long-term impact on the Company such as human rights, environmental compliance, and more. These issues, which can manifest as risks or opportunities affecting future cash flows and enterprise value, may arise from past or anticipated events. The assessment of</i></p>

	<p><i>these risks and opportunities included an evaluation of their magnitude and likelihood, as well as their interdependencies with respect to the Company. They may relate to assets and liabilities recognized in financial reports or to factors contributing to enterprise value that do not meet traditional accounting criteria but are integral to the Company's overall value creation. These factors, often referred to as "capitals" in frameworks promoting a multi-capital approach, can trigger financial effects in two main ways: by influencing the Company's access to necessary resources for its operations or by impacting its relationships with key stakeholders. The assessment of impact materiality and financial materiality, in accordance with the ESRS framework, are intertwined, recognizing that sustainability impacts may become financially significant over time. Beyond assessing the direct financial implications of its actions, the Company is also evaluating how sustainability factors external to its operations affect the Company. The process took into account several environmental, social and governance related issues, among which water security. The UK Companies Act 2006 does not require the analyses to be performed every year, but only if there are significant changes to the company or to the assumptions underneath the calculations, or at least every three years. For this reason, the analyses were confirmed for 2024. In 2025, the company was demerged following the sale of IGT's Gaming and Digital business ("IGT Gaming"), leaving the former IGT Lottery as its only remaining business. It was, nonetheless, possible to isolate the analyses results performed in 2023 related to the lottery division alone.</i></p>
--	--

Row 4

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change
(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Opportunities
(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations
(2.2.2.4) Coverage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Full
(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative and quantitative
(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually
(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Short-term <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium-term <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Long-term
(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A specific environmental risk management process
(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site-specific
2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used	<p><i>Enterprise Risk Management</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enterprise Risk Management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal company methods <p><i>International methodologies and standards</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IPCC Climate Change Projections <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard <p><i>Other</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Desk-based research <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal company methods <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scenario analysis
(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered	<p><i>Acute physical</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Avalanche <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heat waves <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wildfires <p><i>Chronic physical</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heat stress <p><i>Policy</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changes to national legislation <p><i>Market</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changing customer behavior <p><i>Reputation</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Impact on human health <p><i>Liability</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-compliance with regulations
(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employees

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local communities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Suppliers
(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
2.2.2.16) Further details of process	<p><i>The assessment of the primary climate-related transition risks and opportunities in 2023 involved a systematic multi-step approach integrating several key features, including: examining the Company's value chain; considering scenarios developed by the International Energy Agency (IA) and IPCC to assess future vulnerability to climate change; an understanding of the environmental regulations, conducting an analysis of industry reports and peer. Following the initial desk analysis, a qualitative assessment of conceivable climate-related transition risks that could impact the Company's operations was performed. The Company conducted a qualitative analysis on climate-related opportunities that could potentially be leveraged. This process included examining the Company's value chain, climate-related scenarios, external influences including regulatory changes, questionnaires, industry evaluations, and market research. This assessment resulted in a list of potential climate-related opportunities, aligned with the primary categories defined by the TCFD. To ensure relevance to the Company, these identified opportunities were screened and clustered, and a further analysis was undertaken to identify any supplementary opportunities that could be considered. The climate-related physical risks assessment was based on Representative Concentration Pathways ("RCPs") and Shared Socioeconomic Pathways ("SSPs") climate scenarios derived from the IPCC. These scenarios used are: RCP2.6/SSP1, the "Lowest Emissions" scenario; RCP4.5/SSP2, corresponding to a world with some climate mitigation measures implemented; RCP8.5/SSP5, the "High Emissions" scenario. The climate-related physical risk assessment</i></p>

	<p><i>involved the identification of and exposure to potential physical risks associated with climate change, such as heatwaves, drought, and other extreme weather events, and which may manifest with acute or chronic effects, depending on their magnitude and timeframe. The Company undertook a selection of physical risks that could potentially affect key assets and assessed the Company's exposure to physical risks on 8 key sites. These sites were selected based on a set of criteria that considered the number of employees on-site, the size of the facility, the energy consumption levels, and its strategic relevance to the Company. After identifying the main physical risks, the Company evaluated the potential financial impact stemming from physical climate-related risks on the Company's key assets. Various asset-specific attributes, including its primary use, geographic coordination, main materials of construction, age and lifetime, foundation type, asset value, were considered as part of the assessment. Additionally, other indicators linked to the identified physical risks (such as the type of hazard, severity, period, amongst others) were used to calculate the damage percentage and estimate the potential financial impacts. The results were computed based on the propensity of an asset to be subject to significant hazard intensities given the physical local climate properties.</i></p>
--	---

Row 5

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biodiversity
(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dependencies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Impacts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks
(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upstream value chain <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Downstream value chain
(2.2.2.4) Coverage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Full
(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tier 1 suppliers
(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative only
(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annually
(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Short-term <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium-term <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Long-term
(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process
(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> National
(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used	<i>Enterprise Risk Management</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enterprise Risk Management <i>Other</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Desk-based research <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External consultants <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internal company methods <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materiality assessment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :ESRS
(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered	<i>Market</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changing customer behavior <i>Reputation</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Impact on human health <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback <i>Liability</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-compliance with regulations
(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local communities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulators <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Suppliers
(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(2.2.2.16) Further details of process	<i>During 2023, risk analysis was enhanced to progressively align with financial materiality analysis required by the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD),</i>

	<p><i>drawing on benchmark analysis, international standards, and guidance from the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS) to also include ESG risks related matters. The methodology for identifying, assessing, and managing dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities was grounded in the framework outlined by ESRS 2. Therefore, the assessment was centered around the connections of impacts and dependencies with the risks and opportunities that may arise as a result, as well as assessing the likelihood, magnitude, and nature of effects of the identified risks and opportunities. To this end, the Company has implemented an analysis to determine the financial significance of sustainability issues that may not be captured in standard financial reporting. This effort aims to effectively incorporate emerging risks that may have a long-term impact on the Company such as human rights, environmental compliance, and more. These issues, which can manifest as risks or opportunities affecting future cash flows and enterprise value, may arise from past or anticipated events. The assessment of these risks and opportunities included an evaluation of their magnitude and likelihood, as well as their interdependencies with respect to the Company. They may relate to assets and liabilities recognized in financial reports or to factors contributing to enterprise value that do not meet traditional accounting criteria but are integral to the Company's overall value creation. These factors, often referred to as "capitals" in frameworks promoting a multi-capital approach, can trigger financial effects in two main ways: by influencing the Company's access to necessary resources for its operations or by impacting its relationships with key stakeholders. The assessment of impact materiality and financial materiality, in accordance with the ESRS framework, are intertwined, recognizing that sustainability impacts may become financially significant over time. Beyond assessing the direct</i></p>
--	---

	<p><i>financial implications of its actions, the Company is also evaluating how sustainability factors external to its operations affect the Company. The process took into account several environmental, social and governance related issues, among which water security. The UK Companies Act 2006 does not require the analyses to be performed every year, but only if there are significant changes to the company or to the assumptions underneath the calculations, or at least every three years. For this reason, the analyses were confirmed for 2024. In 2025, the company was demerged following the sale of IGT's Gaming and Digital business ("IGT Gaming"), leaving the former IGT Lottery as its only remaining business. It was, nonetheless, possible to isolate the analyses results performed in 2023 related to the lottery division alone.</i></p>
<p>(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
<p>(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed</p>	<p><i>The Company evaluates the interplay between its operations and the environment, adopting a strategic and unified approach to ensure sustainability. Utilizing a double materiality framework in line with ESRS guidelines, the Company assesses environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities. This begins with analyzing the company's operations, relationships, and stakeholder perspectives to identify significant impacts, which are then evaluated against specific thresholds to determine their materiality. Dependencies denote the intricate links between an organization and essential elements such as suppliers, clients, resources, regulations, and other external parties that are critical for its functioning and success. These dependencies can lead to notable risks and prospects. To pinpoint these interdependencies, the Group employs a suite of environmental surveillance instruments, scrutiny of internal performance indicators, external information, and</i></p>

	<p><i>dialogue with environmental specialists within the scope of Enterprise Risk Management. For instance, the Group scrutinizes the consumption of water, energy, and raw materials, alongside reliance on ecosystem services like climate regulation and biodiversity. A case in point is the dependency on water resources, which may be impacted by climate change, potentially heightening operational risks and influencing innovation potential. The Company also places special emphasis on stakeholder relationships, which can shift based on the Group's interactions with other stakeholder categories. Particularly, the Group meticulously observes its ties with logistics providers and key suppliers, as these relationships bear considerable environmental, cost, and reputational implications.</i></p>
--	--

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have identified priority locations
(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations
(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water
(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations	<p><i>The comprehensive climate-related physical risk assessment involved the identification of and exposure to potential physical risks associated with climate change, such as heatwaves, drought, and other extreme weather events, and which may manifest with acute or chronic effects, depending on their magnitude and timeframe. To streamline this process, the Company undertook a careful selection of physical risks that could potentially affect key assets, with geographic location serving as key criteria and assessed the Company's exposure to physical risks on 8 key sites. These sites (including production facilities, data centers and office sites) were selected based on a set of pre-determined criteria that considered the number of employees on-site, the size of the facility, the energy consumption levels, and its strategic relevance to the Company. After identifying the main physical risks, the Company evaluated the potential financial impact stemming from physical climate-related risks on the Company's key assets. In this regard, various asset-specific attributes, including its primary use, geographic coordination, main materials of construction, age and lifetime, foundation type, asset value, were considered as part of the assessment. Additionally, other indicators linked to the identified physical risks (such as the type of hazard, severity, period, among others) were used to calculate the damage percentage and estimate the potential financial impacts. The results were computed based on the propensity of an asset to be subject to significant hazard intensities given the physical local climate properties.</i></p>

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not have a list/geospatial map of priority locations
--	--

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?
Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative
(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Frequency of effect occurring <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Time horizon over which the effect occurs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Likelihood of effect occurring
(2.4.7) Application of definition	<i>The assessment of financial materiality, and thus the relevance of the substantive effects of the identified dependencies and risks took into account the time horizon over which the effect occurs (in the short, medium, and long term), as well as the evaluation of the magnitude and likelihood. The time horizons are in line with those reported in question 2.1. Assessing magnitude requires quantifying the magnitude of the financial effect generated on the company. Likelihood is defined as the probability of occurrence of the event to which the risk is related, which varies depending on the measures taken by the Company to prevent the risk. The combination of the values assigned to the magnitude and likelihood determined the relevance of the risks.</i>

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative
(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Frequency of effect occurring <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Time horizon over which the effect occurs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Likelihood of effect occurring
(2.4.7) Application of definition	<i>The assessment of financial materiality, and thus the relevance of the substantive effects of the identified dependencies and risks took into account the time horizon over which the effect occurs (in the short, medium, and long term), as well as the evaluation of the magnitude and likelihood. The time horizons are in line with those reported in question 2.1. Assessing magnitude requires quantifying the magnitude of the financial effect generated on</i>

	<p><i>the company. Likelihood is defined as the probability of occurrence of the event to which the risk is related, which varies depending on the measures taken by the Company to prevent the risk. The combination of the values assigned to the magnitude and likelihood determined the relevance of the risks.</i></p>
--	---

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative
(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Frequency of effect occurring <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Time horizon over which the effect occurs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Likelihood of effect occurring
(2.4.7) Application of definition	The assessment of financial materiality, and thus the relevance of the substantive effects of the identified dependencies and opportunities, took into account the time horizon over which the effect occurs (in the short, medium, and long term), as well as the evaluation of the magnitude and likelihood. The time horizons are in line with those reported in question 2.1. Assessing magnitude requires quantifying the magnitude of the financial effect generated on the company. Likelihood is defined as the probability of occurrence of the event to which the opportunity is related, which varies depending on the measures taken by the Company to generate the opportunity. The combination of the values assigned to the magnitude and likelihood determined the relevance of the opportunities.

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quantitative
(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EBITDA
(2.4.3) Change to indicator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> % decrease
(2.4.4) % change to indicator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-10
(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Frequency of effect occurring

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Time horizon over which the effect occurs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Likelihood of effect occurring <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Financial impact of physical climate-related risks on the EBITDA
(2.4.7) Application of definition pg 29	<i>In the dedicated climate risks assessment, the Company defines materiality of the actual and potential impacts of physical climate-related risks by reference to the overall group materiality defined for the financial statement. The materiality was determined as approximately 2.19% of EBITDA adjusted to remove the impact of foreign exchange gains and losses. It is one of the principal considerations for the members of the Company in assessing the recurring financial performance of the group as it best represents results from underlying operations. For climate-related transition risks, the definition of an indicative rating is assigned based on qualitative aspects (opinions using scientific understanding of climate scenarios) rather than quantitative aspects.</i>

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not identify and classify our potential water pollutants
(2.5.3) Please explain	<i>Based on the double materiality assessment, the company's influence on water pollution is deemed not significant, owing to the low probability of occurrence and the moderate potential severity of the impact. Only certain facilities and a subset of suppliers related to the undertaking have the capacity to release chemical compounds.</i>

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified	<i>Select from:</i>
Climate change	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain
Water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain
Plastics	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority
(3.1.3) Please explain	The issue of plastics is not considered a relevant matter for the company as its business operations are not particularly impacted by, nor impactful on, the use of plastics.

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risk1
---------------------------	---

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Heat stress
(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations
(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Australia <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> South Africa <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Serbia
(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk	<p><i>Heatwaves and extreme temperature can affect the demand for cooling or air conditioning services, result in direct damage to property and building materials, and have a profound effect on employee productivity, potentially causing absenteeism, reduced physical performance and overall job performance. These problems are mainly related to the increased global wet bulb temperature (WBGT) - a measure of heat stress in direct sunlight that takes into account temperature, humidity, wind speed and sun angle. Moreover, most of the cooling systems used in the Company's facilities rely on electricity, resulting in higher energy consumption and energy costs. The Company's facility in Lakeland (Florida, U.S.) is the site most likely to be affected by heat waves due to its geographical location and weather conditions. This climate-related physical risk can also affect, to a lesser extent, the Company's sites located in Italy, Australia, Canada, Mexico, Serbia, South Africa, Hungary, and Poland. Heat stress climate-related risk is a potential impacts which could</i></p>

	<i>occur over the medium-term (2035s) time period, actual impacts are deemed not to be relevant to date.</i>
(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increased direct costs
(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium-term
(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Likely
(3.1.1.14) Magnitude	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium
(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons	<p><i>The Company expects that the risk of heatwaves and extreme temperatures may have financial implications due to the increase in direct costs, stemming from higher demand for cooling systems within the facilities. Additionally, extreme temperatures can cause damage to buildings, resulting in costs for structural adjustments that the company will have to bear. Lastly, employee productivity is expected to significantly decrease due to unfavorable working conditions, leading to a reduction in the Company's productive capacity and the need for interventions to improve the working environment. Also, the Company assessed the materiality of the physical risk of heat waves and extreme temperature, which results to be a Material risk in every one of the scenarios considered (both in SSP1 – 2.6, SSP2 – 4.5, and SSP7 – 8.5). The materiality considers the potential financial impact of each physical risk in relation to the three RCP/SSP scenarios</i></p>

	<i>by reference to the medium-term (2035s) time period and the overall group materiality.</i>
(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk	<i>Compliance, monitoring and targets</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation of environmental best practices in direct operations
(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk	0
(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation	<i>The company is unable to quantify the costs that have been incurred for the implementation of mitigation actions for the effects of the risks.</i>
(3.1.1.29) Description of response	<i>The mitigation initiatives already implemented, as well as those under consideration, will assist the Company in reducing its dependence on non-renewable energy sources and energy consumption at its facilities, while also enhancing building resilience to high temperatures. This strategy will help the company mitigate potential costs associated with heatwaves and extreme temperatures. However, the Company is unable to quantify the costs for the implementation of the mitigation actions taken in response to the risk of heatwaves and extreme temperatures. These actions include: Installation of photovoltaic systems to harness solar energy and reduce reliance on non-renewable energy sources. Activities aimed at space management efficiency to optimize workspace usage and reduce energy consumption.</i>

	<p><i>Furthermore, the Company may consider implementing additional measures in the future, such as: Installation of more efficient cooling systems to better manage indoor temperatures and reduce energy usage. Installation of renewable energy systems to further decrease the carbon footprint and mitigate dependency on fossil fuels. Installation of more efficient thermal insulation on assets to improve energy conservation and maintain stable indoor temperatures. Creation of green spaces and cooling areas to provide natural cooling effects and improve the local microclimate. Educating employees about heat-related health risks and individual actions they can take to mitigate impacts, fostering a culture of awareness and proactive behavior in the face of climate change.</i></p>
--	---

Water

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risk2
(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver	Acute physical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flooding (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, groundwater)
(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations
(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy

(3.1.1.7) River basin where the risk occurs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Tiber
(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk	<p><i>Riverine flooding can cause damage to buildings and equipment. In areas where flooding is frequent, companies may need to invest in additional infrastructure and protections to mitigate the risks associated with flooding. The Company's facility in Rome (Italy) may be exposed to risk of river flooding because of its location near the Tiber River. The mitigation initiatives that may be evaluated will help the Company increase the protection of its assets and equipment, and mitigate the potential costs associated with the risk of riverine floods. Riverine flooding climate-related risk is a potential impact which could occur over the medium-term (2035s) time period, actual impacts are deemed not to be relevant to date.</i></p>
(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decreased asset value or asset useful life leading to write-offs, asset impairment or early retirement of existing assets
(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium-term
(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Likely
3.1.1.14) Magnitude	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low

<p>(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons</p>	<p><i>The Company expects that the risk of riverine flooding may have financial implications due to the damage to assets and equipment, resulting in costs for structural adjustments that the company will have to bear. Also, the Company assessed the materiality of the physical risk of riverine flooding, which results to be an immaterial risk in every one of the scenarios considered (both in SSP1 – 2.6, SSP2 – 4.5, and SSP 7 – 8.5). The materiality considers the potential financial impact of each physical risk in relation to the three RCP/SSP scenarios by reference to the medium-term (2035s) time period and the overall group materiality.</i></p>
<p>(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk Infrastructure, technology and spending</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve maintenance of infrastructure</p>	<p><i>Infrastructure, technology and spending</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve maintenance of infrastructure</p>
<p>(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation</p>	<p>The company is unable to quantify the costs that have been incurred for the implementation of mitigation actions for the effects of the risks.</p>
<p>(3.1.1.29) Description of response</p>	<p><i>The Company has not yet incurred costs for the implementation of mitigation actions for the effects of water-related risks of riverine flooding; however, the company may consider implementing initiatives which will help increase the protection of its assets and equipment, and mitigate the potential costs associated with the risk</i></p>

	<i>of riverine floods. The mitigation action to be implemented is the development of specific plan for flood events.</i>
--	--

Climate Change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risk3
(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver	Acute physical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wildfires
(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations
(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Serbia
(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk	<i>Wildfires poses safety risks, causing damage to buildings and equipment and potentially leading to the destruction of surrounding natural resources. This climate-related physical risk can affect the Company's sites located in Italy, Serbia, Hungary, and Poland, in those regions with a drier climate, that are most susceptible to fire risk because of the hot, dry climate and surrounding vegetation. The mitigation initiatives that may be evaluated will help the Company increase the fire protection of its buildings and equipment, and mitigate the potential costs associated with the risk of wildfires. Wildfires climate-related risk is a potential impact which could occur over the medium-term (2035s) time period, actual impacts are deemed not to be relevant to date.</i>

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decreased asset value or asset useful life leading to write-offs, asset impairment or early retirement of existing assets
(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium-term
(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Likely
(3.1.1.14) Magnitude	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium-low
(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons	<i>The Company expects that the risk of wildfires may have financial implications due to the damage to assets and equipment, resulting in costs for structural adjustments that the company will have to bear. Also, the Company assessed the materiality of the physical risk of wildfires, which results to be an immaterial risk in every one of the scenarios considered (both in SSP1 – 2.6, SSP2 – 4.5, and SSP 7 – 8.5). The materiality considers the potential financial impact of each physical risk in relation to the three RCP/SSP scenarios by reference to the medium-term (2035s) time period and the overall group materiality.</i>
(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk	<i>Compliance, monitoring and targets</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other compliance, monitoring or target, please specify :Improvement of the fire detection and suppression systems and management of vegetation around assets
(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk	0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation	<p><i>The company is unable to quantify the costs that have been incurred for the implementation of mitigation actions for the effects of the risks.</i></p>
(3.1.1.29) Description of response	<p><i>The Company has not yet incurred costs for the implementation of mitigation actions for the effects of climate risk related to wildfires; however, the company may consider implementing initiatives which will help increase the fire protection of its buildings and equipment, and mitigate the potential costs associated with the risk of wildfires. These initiatives are the following mitigation actions related to the risk of wildfires, such as the improvement of the fire detection and suppression systems and the management of vegetation around assets. This approach could lead the Company to reduce the impact of the damage to assets and equipment in case the wildfires occur.</i></p>

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risk4
(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver	Market <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Changing customer behavior
(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations
(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Australia <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> South Africa <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Serbia

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk	<p><i>Growing environmental awareness among consumers and stakeholders is leading to lower demand for high greenhouse gas-emitting products and services. The Company's inaction on these issues could lead to revenue and market share losses, significantly devaluing the Company. Additionally, the need to adapt products and services may incur higher costs due to technological changes and the implementation of new low-carbon solutions. Under a Net Zero scenario, customer environmental awareness is expected to rise as societies embrace eco-friendly practices, unlike in a "High Emissions" scenario. The Company's mitigation initiatives aim to introduce environmentally superior products and services, demonstrating a stronger commitment to sustainability within its structure. This strategy will help mitigate costs from consumer and stakeholder pressure. The risk of consumer and stakeholder pressure involves potential medium-term impacts (by the 2035s); however, current impacts are not considered significant.</i></p>
(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services
(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium-term
(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium
(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons	<i>The Company anticipates that the transition risk from consumer and stakeholder pressure may have financial consequences due to decreased demand for products and services with high GHG emissions and increased demand to reshape these offerings. This could lead to costs associated with technological shifts and the need to implement new low-carbon solutions. Without a proactive stance on these issues, the Company could face revenue and market share declines, significantly devaluing the Company. The Company assessed the materiality of the transition risk in each scenario as follows: SSP 1-2.6: High; SSP 2-4.5: Medium; SSP 5-8.5: Low. The materiality assessment only considers the potential qualitative impact of each transition risk in relation to the three SSP/RCP scenarios, referencing the medium-term (2030s) period. The Company plans to model the quantitative impact of transition risks as more data becomes available.</i>
(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk	Diversification <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Develop new products, services and/or markets
(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk	0
(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation	<i>The company is unable to quantify the costs that have been incurred for the implementation of mitigation actions for the effects of the risks.</i>

(3.1.1.29) Description of response	<p><i>The mitigation initiatives already implemented, as well as those under consideration, will enable the Company to offer products and services with improved environmental performance, demonstrating a deeper commitment to integrating sustainability into its organizational structure. This strategy will assist the Company in mitigating potential costs associated with consumer and stakeholder pressure. However, the Company cannot currently quantify the costs of implementing the mitigation actions taken in response to the transition risk from consumer and stakeholder pressure. These actions include sourcing more sustainable raw materials for all lottery products, such as Forest Stewardship Council-certified paper and non-toxic inks. Additionally, the Company may explore further measures in the future, such as training employees on environmental issues, enhancing sustainability communications, and implementing eco-design solutions for products.</i></p>
---	--

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures	<p><i>The company has not yet established a monitoring system for the effects of environmental risks related to climate change and water issues on its relevant financial metrics. For this reason, it is currently unable to provide an indication of the extent to which a</i></p>
---	--

	<i>specific metric (Capex, Opex, Revenues, etc.) is vulnerable to the effects of the identified risks under the two environmental issues.</i>
--	---

Water

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures	<i>The company has not yet established a monitoring system for the effects of environmental risks related to climate change and water issues on its relevant financial metrics. For this reason, it is currently unable to provide an indication of the extent to which a specific metric (Capex, Opex, Revenues, etc.) is vulnerable to the effects of the identified risks under the two environmental issues.</i>
--	--

(3.2) Within each river basin, how many facilities are exposed to substantive effects of water-related risks, and what percentage of your total number of facilities does this represent?

Row 1

(3.2.1) Country/Area & River basin	Iran (Islamic Republic of) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Tiber
(3.2.2) Value chain stages where facilities at risk have been identified in this river basin	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations
(3.2.3) Number of facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin	1
(3.2.4) % of your organization's total facilities within direct operations exposed to water-related risk in this river basin	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Less than 1%

(3.2.10) % organization's total global revenue that could be affected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Less than 1%
(3.2.11) Please explain	<p><i>In 2023 the Company conducted a climate-related physical risk assessment based on Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) and Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) climate scenarios derived from the IPCC. The comprehensive climate-related physical risk assessment involved the identification of and exposure to potential physical risk associated with climate change, such as heatwaves, drought, and other extreme weather events, and which may manifest with acute or chronic effects, depending on their magnitude and timeframe. To streamline this process, the Company undertook a careful selection of physical risks that could potentially affect key assets, with geographic location serving as key criteria and assessed the Company's exposure to physical risks on 8 key sites. These direct operation-related sites (including production facilities, data centers and office sites) were selected based on a set of pre-determined criteria that considered the number of employees on-site, the size of the facility, the energy consumption levels, and its strategic relevance to the Company. After identifying the main physical risks, the Company evaluated the potential financial impact stemming from physical climate-related risks on the Company's key assets. Between climate-related physical risks the Company identified a risk related to</i></p>

	<p><i>riverine floods. Riverine flooding can cause damage to buildings and equipment. In areas where flooding is frequent, companies may need to invest in additional infrastructure and protections to mitigate the risks associated with flooding. the Company's facility in Rome (Italy) may be exposed to risk of river flooding because of its location near the Tiber river. The mitigation initiatives that may be evaluated will help the Company increase the protection of its assets and equipment, and mitigate the potential costs associated with the risk of riverine floods.</i></p>
--	--

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
<p><i>Select from:</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><i>During 2024 the Company was not subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations.</i></p>

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years.

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized
--	--

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization
(3.6.3) Please explain	The analyses conducted by the Company on the potential opportunities affecting business operations have not revealed the presence of relevant water-related opportunities for the Company's business.

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Opp1
(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver	<i>Products and services</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation
(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations
(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Peru <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chile <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Greece <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Israel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Latvia <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Czechia <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monaco <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Serbia <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jamaica <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sweden <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romania <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ukraine <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Singapore <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portugal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Costa Rica <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slovakia <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Philippines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Argentina <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> South Africa <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gibraltar <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dominican Republic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antigua and Barbuda <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Trinidad and Tobago <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saint Kitts and Nevis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sint Maarten (Dutch part)
(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description	<p><i>The assessment of the primary climate-related opportunities, performed in 2023 and confirmed for 2024, involved a systematic multi-step approach integrating several key features, including: examining the Company's value chain; considering climate scenarios developed by the IEA and IPCC to assess future vulnerability to climate change and identify potential pathways and outcomes; understanding of the environmental regulations, both existing and prospective, that could exert and push the Company's transition towards a low-carbon economy; and conducting an analysis of industry reports and peer activities. The Company identified the opportunity of products and services efficiency, in fact, developing energy-efficient products or incorporating eco-features will enable the Company to meet market demand and achieve</i></p>

	<p><i>operational cost savings. Implementing eco-design strategies can help the Company to use more sustainable materials, reduce energy consumption and minimize waste generation. The initiatives that may be evaluated will help Company develop and integrate innovative low-carbon technologies into its products and processes. This approach will help the Company to capture the cost benefits that can result from products and services efficiency. The analysis of climate-related opportunities considers only the potential qualitative impact of each opportunity in relation to the three RCP/SSP scenarios by reference to the medium-term (2035s) time horizon.</i></p>
(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services
(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium-term
(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Likely (66–100%)
(3.6.1.12) Magnitude	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium-low
(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons	<p><i>Considering the three climate scenarios, the products and services opportunity results to have the following materiality: Under the SSP 1 - 2.6 scenario, the materiality is assessed as Medium. For the SSP 2 - 4.5 scenario, the materiality is considered Low. Similarly, the SSP 5 -</i></p>

	<p><i>8.5 scenario is also categorized with Low materiality. The Company projects that capitalizing on the climate-related opportunity through enhanced efficiency of products and services could lead to a spectrum of advantages. These include diminished production and operational expenditures, bolstered efficiency leading to greater profitability, and an expansion in market share accompanied by an uptick in revenue streams.</i></p>
(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity	0
(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation	<p><i>The Company has not currently implemented initiatives aimed at capturing the benefits of environmental opportunities related to the development of new products or services through R&D and innovation.</i></p>
(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity	<p><i>The Company has not currently implemented initiatives aimed at capturing the benefits of climate opportunities related to the development of new products or services through R&D and innovation; however, it has identified some initiatives that it could implement in the future. Indeed, the Company could consider the adoption of low- or no-carbon technologies and investment in R&D. By doing so, the company could achieve improved efficiency and profitability, as well as increased market shares and revenues.</i></p>

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

<p>(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures</p>	<p><i>The company has not yet developed a system that allows it to identify which and to what extent its financial metrics are aligned with the substantial effects of environmental opportunities. For this reason, it is currently unable to provide an indication of the extent to which a specific metric (Capex, Opex, Revenues, etc.) is aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.</i></p>
---	---

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> More frequently than quarterly
(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Executive directors or equivalent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-executive directors or equivalent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Independent non-executive directors or equivalent
(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, and it is publicly available
(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers	<p><i>Following the demerger between Gaming & Digital business and Lottery business, the remaining lottery Company adopted the former IGT Board Diversity Policy. This outlines the Company's commitment to promoting diversity within its Board of Directors. It covers the value of diversity in various aspects such as thought, experience, culture, race, ethnicity, religion, age, abilities, sexual orientation, gender, and gender identity. The policy emphasizes that diversity enhances decision-making, strategy, and overall business results. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for monitoring the Board's composition, considering business and regulatory needs, and identifying qualified individuals for Board membership, ensuring selections are based on merit and without discrimination. The policy is specific to the Board and does not</i></p>

	<i>apply to general employment at the Company.</i>
(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)	board-diversity-policy.pdf

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
---	---

Water

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years
(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority (4.1.1)
(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Since such environmental issue is not considered an immediate strategic priority for the business in which the Company operates, there is no plan to implement a board-level oversight on this environmental issue.

Biodiversity

(4.1.1.1) Board-level oversight of this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years
(4.1.1.2) Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority
(4.1.1.3) Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Since such environmental issue is not considered an immediate strategic priority for the business in which the Company operates, there is no plan to implement a board-level oversight on this environmental issue.

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Board chair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Director on board <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chief Executive Officer (CEO) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chief Financial Officer (CFO) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Board-level committee
(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Individual role descriptions
(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scheduled agenda item in every board meeting (standing agenda item)
(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Overseeing the setting of corporate targets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monitoring progress towards corporate targets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
(4.1.2.7) Please explain	<i>The Company is committed to growing its business responsibly by implementing a structured and dedicated governance framework,</i>

	<p><i>which includes high standards of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) practices. The Company's Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the NCGC) oversees the Company's strategy on sustainability and monitors the implementation of the Company's sustainability program. This includes reviewing the Company's ESG-related public disclosures such as its annual sustainability report and modern slavery statement. The NCGC will also provide periodic sustainability updates to Board of Directors. The Company's Sustainability Steering Committee (SSC) was established in 2021 to create an aligned sustainability approach across all regions and businesses. In order to pursue its objective, the SSC is tasked with evaluating and approving a global sustainability plan that is integrated and consistent with business priorities. This includes cultivating a long-term vision and related objectives on sustainability, fostering a consistent sustainability approach across all regions and businesses, and increasing communication on sustainability initiatives by sharing best practices at global and local levels.</i></p>
--	--

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?**Climate change**

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
---	---

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue
(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Executive-level experience in a role focused on environmental issues Water

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to within the next two years
(4.2.4) Primary reason for no board-level competency on this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority
(4.2.5) Explain why your organization does not have a board with competence on this environmental issue	<i>There is no plan to implement a board-level competency on this environmental issue, as this is not considered a priority for the time being.</i>

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

Climate change

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
---	---

Water

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to within the next two years
(4.3.2) Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No standardized procedure

(4.3.3) Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues	<i>For such environmental issue the Company hasn't implemented standardized procedures yet. However, the company is committed to improve the competencies and the responsibility around the topic of water in the next years.</i>
---	---

Biodiversity

(4.3.1) Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years
(4.3.2) Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No standardized procedure
(4.3.3) Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues	<i>For such environmental issue the Company hasn't implemented standardized procedures yet. However, the Company is committed to improve the competencies and the responsibility around the topic of biodiversity in the following years.</i>

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility	Committee <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environmental, Social, Governance committee
(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position	<i>Policies, commitments, and targets</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets <i>Strategy and financial planning</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Developing a climate transition plan

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementing a climate transition plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues <i>Other</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Approving corporate environmental targets
(4.3.1.4) Reporting line	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reports to the board directly
(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> More frequently than quarterly
(4.3.1.6) Please explain	<p><i>The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (NCGC) is an integral part of the company's governance structure, responsible for monitoring implementation of the Company's Sustainability Plan, including climate-related initiatives like the Decarbonization Pathway.</i></p> <p><i>Environmental Briefings: The NCGC is regularly briefed on environmental issues by experts to stay informed on legislation, trends, and best practices.</i></p> <p><i>Update Frequency: The committee receives environmental updates quarterly or more frequently if necessary, depending on the urgency of environmental matters.</i></p> <p><i>Integration with Other Functions: The NCGC's environmental oversight is integrated with internal functions such as risk management and CSR, ensuring environmental considerations are part of the company's strategic decision-making.</i></p>

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility	Committee <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Environmental, Social, Governance committee
(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position	<p><i>Policies, commitments, and targets</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets <p><i>Strategy and financial planning</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Developing a climate transition plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementing a climate transition plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues <p><i>Other</i></p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Approving corporate environmental targets
(4.3.1.4) Reporting line	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Report to Nominating Corporate Governance Committee (NCGC)
(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarterly
(4.3.1.6) Please explain	<p><i>The Sustainability Steering Committee, also named Sustainability Steering Committee (SSC), is responsible for the assessment and approval of a global Sustainability Plan consistent with business priorities, thus ensuring the allocation of appropriate resources. The Committee discuss and approve climate-related issues as well. Environmental Reporting: The SSC receives regular reports on environmental issues from internal and external experts to guide decision-</i></p>

	<p><i>making. Meeting Frequency: The committee meets at least quarterly, with additional sessions as needed for urgent environmental developments. Integration with Operations: The SSC's environmental oversight is coordinated with other internal functions to ensure a unified approach to sustainability across the company.</i></p>
--	---

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility	<p><i>Committee</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other committee, please specify: Audit Committee</p>
(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position	<p><i>Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities</p>
(4.3.1.4) Reporting line	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Report to Nominating Corporate Governance Committee (NCGC)</p>
(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not reported to the board</p>
(4.3.1.6) Please explain	<p><i>In conjunction with the NCGC, the Audit Committee oversees and supports management's activities in meeting the mandatory climate-related financial</i></p>

	<p><i>disclosures. To further strengthen the competence of the Board on climate-related matters, members of both the NCGC and the Audit Committee as well as the CFO participated in an internal training organized by the Global Sustainability Team. The training focused on climate change, providing an understanding and addressing the main impacts of the climate crisis, and included the key pressures and drivers for the Company to addressing climate change. The Directors were also apprised of the Company's ongoing efforts to meet the mandatory climate-related financial disclosures, including through the implementation of a climate-related risks and opportunities assessment, with a focus on the TCFD Recommendations, and held two joint meetings during the year to review and discuss the results.</i></p>
--	---

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

<p>(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to introduce them in the next two years</p>
<p>(4.5.3) Please explain</p>	<p><i>The Company does not provide monetary incentives related to the environmental issue to its members, but Company's executives are entitled to participate in incentive compensation plans which are designed to encourage achievement of both short-term financial results and longer-term strategic objectives.</i></p>

	<p><i>Payments under the plans are typically based on the Company's financial performance and individual Management by Objectives ("MBOs"). In 2022, the MBOs for certain executives were mainly related to the Company's performance against ESG index ratings, as well as for 2023. For many of the ESG index ratings, performance is also determined by climate-related activities and objectives of the Company.</i></p>
--	--

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years
(4.5.3) Please explain	<p><i>The Company does not provide monetary incentives related to the environmental issue to its members, but Company's executives are entitled to participate in incentive compensation plans which are designed to encourage achievement of both short-term financial results and longer-term strategic objectives. Payments under the plans are typically based on the Company's financial performance and individual Management by Objectives ("MBOs"). In 2022, the MBOs for certain executives were mainly related to the Company's performance against ESG index ratings, as well as for 2023. For many of the ESG index ratings, performance is also determined by climate-related activities and objectives of the Company.</i></p>

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

Yes

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organization-wide
(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upstream value chain <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Downstream value chain
(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage	<i>IGT PLC has adopted an Environmental Policy that applied to all entities, affiliates, and facilities worldwide, including Lottery, and therefore the current Brightstar perimeter. The Company is currently updating the Environmental Policy to adjust it to the new perimeter, following IGT PLC's demerger. The policy is consistent with the position taken by the trade associations of which the Company is member. The Company is committed to reduce the emissions generated through the Company's value chain (e.g. logistics and supplier engagement) and monitor and reduce water consumption in operations.</i>
(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content	<i>Environmental commitments</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commitment to a circular economy strategy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues</p> <p><i>Climate-specific commitments</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commitment to net-zero emissions</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other climate-related commitment, please specify :Commitment to improving the Company's energy performance</p> <p><i>Water-specific commitments</i></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commitment to reduce water consumption volumes</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes</p>
(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to align in the next two years
(4.6.1.7) Public availability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Publicly available
(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy	IGT Environmental Policy_2023_en.pdf

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race to Zero Campaign</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UN Global Compact</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Business Ambition for 1.5C</p>

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative	<p><i>As part of its legacy within IGT PLC, the Company's science-based climate strategy received formal validation from the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) in August 2023. This strategy aimed at achieving near-term targets for a 50% reduction in absolute Scope 1 and 2 emissions and a 30% reduction in Scope 3 emissions by 2030, compared to 2019 levels. On top of this, the Company committed to a net-zero target by 2050, with the goal to reduce Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions by 90%, with the remaining 10% offset through credible carbon removal solutions. Now operating independently, the Company is actively working to define its own science-based targets, tailored to its current organizational perimeter, to be validated by the beginning of 2026. Moreover, the Company is part of the Business Ambition for 1.5C, Race to Zero Campaign and UN Global Compact</i></p>
---	--

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation
(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals	
(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paris Agreement
(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement	2023_IGT_Sustainability Report.pdf
(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
(4.11.6) Types of transparency register your organization is registered on	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory government register <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Voluntary government register <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-government register
(4.11.7) Disclose the transparency registers on which your organization is registered & the relevant ID numbers for your organization	<i>The Company is registered in the Transparency Register of European Union REG Number: 57431575616-23</i>
(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan	<i>The Company is a Premium Partner of the European Lotteries (EL), the umbrella organization of national lotteries, both state-owned and private operators, operating games of chance for the public benefit. EL promotes a sound and sustainable lottery model for the benefit of society, based on the values of subsidiarity, precaution, solidarity, and integrity. EL Members demonstrate a strong commitment to environmental sustainability by monitoring greenhouse gas emissions related to their lottery activities to assess their environmental footprint and set individual reduction targets. In addition, Members are working to decrease these emissions by implementing sustainable practices—such as improving energy efficiency and adopting renewable energy sources—</i>

	<p><i>and by publicly disclosing their 2030 reduction goals, contributing to broader climate change mitigation efforts. They also actively use paper sourced from certified sustainable forests (FSC, PEFC) for lottery materials such as scratch tickets, recognizing the environmental impact of these products. The Company actively engages with EL working groups, offering suggestions about EU dossiers, legal, social, and economic developments, regulations, innovations, and technology concerning lottery products in Europe.</i></p>
--	---

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indirect engagement via other intermediary organization or individual
(4.11.2.2) Type of organization or individual	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> International Governmental Organization (IGO)
(4.11.2.3) State the organization or position of individual	United Nations Global Compact
(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistent

<p>(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we publicly promoted their current position</p>
<p>(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position</p>	<p><i>The Company joined the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC), the largest corporate responsibility initiative in the world for the development, implementation, and disclosure of responsible corporate policies and practices. Endorsed by high-ranking executives from all around the world, the UNGC is a call to companies everywhere to voluntarily align their operations and strategies with 10 universally accepted principles in the areas of human rights, labor, environment, and anti-corruption.</i></p>
<p>(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)</p>	<p>13500</p>
<p>(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment</p>	<p><i>The aim of the funding figure provided to the United Nations Global Compact (UNGC) is to support the UNGC's mission to encourage businesses worldwide to adopt sustainable and socially responsible policies, and to report on their implementation. The Company, being a multinational gaming company, participates in the UNGC initiative to demonstrate its commitment to responsible business practices, including those that impact the environment. When the Company provides data and findings to the UNGC, it contributes to a global repository of corporate sustainability information. This information is critical</i></p>

	<p><i>in assessing the collective progress of companies in integrating environmental responsibility into their operations. It also serves as a resource for identifying and sharing best practices and innovative solutions to environmental challenges. The information provided by companies like the Company can influence stakeholders, including investors, customers, and policymakers, by offering insights into the company's environmental performance and initiatives. This transparency can lead to increased corporate accountability and can encourage other companies to follow suit. Furthermore, by demonstrating the positive outcomes of sustainable practices, the Company can help advocate for policies that create a favorable environment for responsible business conduct. Lastly, the collaboration between corporations like the Company and the UNGC is also crucial in aligning corporate strategies with the UNGC's Ten Principles and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly those related to environmental sustainability. This alignment can further drive the agenda for sustainable development and influence the broader regulatory and policy framework.</i></p>
<p>(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned</p>

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paris Agreement
--	---

Row 2

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indirect engagement via a trade association
(4.11.2.4) Trade association	Europe <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other trade association in Europe, please specify :European Lotteries
(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change
(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consistent
(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we publicly promoted their current position
(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position	<i>The Company is a Premium Partner of the European Lotteries (EL), the umbrella organization of national lotteries, both state-owned and private operators, operating games of chance for the public benefit. Members offer gambling and betting services in the jurisdictions in which they are licensed by the respective national government. EL promotes a sound and sustainable gaming model for the benefit of society, based on the values of subsidiarity, precaution, solidarity, and integrity. The</i>

	<i>Company representatives actively engage with EL working groups, offering suggestions about EU dossiers, legal, social, and economic developments, regulations, innovations, and technology concerning gaming products in Europe.</i>
(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)	65000
(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment	The aim of the funding figure provided to the European Lotteries (EL) is to support the organization's in promoting a sound and sustainable gaming model for the benefit of society, based on the values of subsidiarity, precaution, solidarity, and integrity.
(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned
(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Paris Agreement

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks
(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TCFD
(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change
(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Complete
(4.12.1.5) Content elements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks & Opportunities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emissions figures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emission targets
(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference	IGT UK Annual Report and Accounts 2024: Environment Chapter, Pag. 28-38
(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication	2024-UK-Reports-and-Accounts.pdf
(4.12.1.8) Comment	<p><i>The Climate-related financial disclosures section in the UK Annual Report contains the climate-related financial disclosures of the Company as required by the regulations. Section 414CB(2A) of the CA 2006 requires the Company to provide climate-related financial disclosures in its Annual Report and Accounts for the financial year ended in 2024. To fulfill these reporting requirements, the Company has structured its disclosures around four thematic areas on climate-related risks and opportunities relating to its business:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Governance: describes the governance arrangements;</i> • <i>Risk Management: describes the identification, assessment and management processes;</i> • <i>Strategy: describes the</i>

	<p><i>describes the impacts on the Company's business model and strategy; and • Metrics & Targets: describes the key performance indicators used in assessing and managing targets. The requirements and the Company disclosure are inspired by the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) Recommendations.</i></p>
--	---

Row 2

(4.12.1.1) Publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks
(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GRI
(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biodiversity
(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Underway - previous year attached
(4.12.1.5) Content elements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dependencies & Impacts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water accounting figures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emission targets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Content of environmental policies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emissions figures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks & Opportunities
(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference	Sustainability Report 2023. The 2024 Sustainability Report will be published in the second half of 2025.
(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication	2023_IGT_Sustainability Report.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment	<p><i>The Company commits to fight climate change, promote circularity and enhance sustainable procurement across the entire value chain. This ambition is reflected in three strategic goals: • fight climate change by identifying risks and opportunities, improving the efficiency of operations and buildings, choosing renewable energy and engaging suppliers and customers in its decarbonization pathway; • promote circularity for products and processes, for example by choosing materials with reduced environmental impact and by enabling customers to reduce, reuse, recycle and renew; and mitigating the pollution generated by air emissions and use of hazardous chemicals; • monitor the working environment and the respect of civil rights of supply chain partners, minimizing the risk of violations related to suppliers' operations worldwide.</i></p>
--------------------	---

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Every two years

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Every two years

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCP 4.5
(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SSP2
(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative and quantitative
(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility
(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acute physical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chronic physical
(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.0°C - 2.4°C
(5.1.1.7) Reference year	2023
(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2025 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2030

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2040 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2050
(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario	Regulators, legal and policy regimes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global regulation
(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario	Corresponding to a world with some climate mitigation measures implemented, this scenario assumes moderate emissions reductions and represents a future where emissions peak around mid-century and then start to decline.
(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario	The RCPS capture potential changes in socioeconomic factors over the coming century and each of them corresponds to a different level of greenhouse gas concentration in the atmosphere. The SSP scenarios describe alternative socio-economic futures in the absence of climate policy intervention. These scenarios are used by researchers to assess and study the potential consequences of various levels of emissions and climate policies.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCP 4.5
(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SSP2
(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative and quantitative
(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility
(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acute physical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2.0°C - 2.4°C
(5.1.1.7) Reference year	2023
(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2025 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2030 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2040 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2050
(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario	Regulators, legal and policy regimes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global regulation
(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario	Corresponding to a world with some climate mitigation measures implemented, this scenario assumes moderate emissions reductions and represents a future where emissions peak around mid-century and then start to decline.
(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario	The RCPS capture potential changes in socioeconomic factors over the coming century and each of them corresponds to a different level of greenhouse gas concentration in the atmosphere. The SSP scenarios describe alternative socio-economic futures in the absence of climate policy intervention. These scenarios are used by researchers to assess and study the potential consequences of various levels of emissions and climate policies.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used	Physical climate scenarios <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCP 2.6
(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SSP1
(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility
(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acute physical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chronic physical
(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.5°C or lower
(5.1.1.7) Reference year	2023
(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2025 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2030 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2040 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2050
(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario	Regulators, legal and policy regimes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global regulation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global targets
(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario	<i>Referred to as the "Lowest Emissions" scenario, this RCP represents a world where strong and immediate emissions reduction measures are taken to limit global warming to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels.</i>
(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario	<i>The RCPS capture potential changes in socioeconomic factors over the coming century and each of them corresponds to a different level of greenhouse gas concentration in the atmosphere. The SSP scenarios describe alternative socio-economic futures in the absence of climate policy intervention. These scenarios are used by researchers to assess and study the potential consequences of various levels of emissions and climate policies.</i>

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used	Physical climate scenarios <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCP 8.5
(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SSP5
(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative and quantitative
(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility
(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acute physical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chronic physical
(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.0°C and above
(5.1.1.7) Reference year	2023
(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2025 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2030 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2040 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2050
(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario	Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of ecosystems impacted <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario	Resulting in the most severe climate change impacts, this "High Emissions" scenario represents a worst-case scenario where greenhouse gas emissions continue to increase throughout the 21st century without significant mitigation efforts, and applied by the Company in its climate-related physical risks assessment, the results of which are reported hereafter under the section headed "Strategy"

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario	Resulting in the most severe climate change impacts, this "High Emissions" scenario represents a worst-case scenario where greenhouse gas emissions continue to increase throughout the 21st century without significant mitigation efforts, and applied by the Company in its climate-related physical risks assessment, the results of which are reported hereafter under the section headed "Strategy"
---	---

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used	Physical climate scenarios <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCP 2.6
(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SSP1
(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative and quantitative
(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility
(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acute physical <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chronic physical
(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.5°C or lower
(5.1.1.7) Reference year	2023
(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2025 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2030 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2040 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario	Regulators, legal and policy regimes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global regulation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global targets
(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario	Referred to as the "Lowest Emissions" scenario, this RCP represents a world where strong and immediate emissions reduction measures are taken to limit global warming to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels.
(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario	The RCPS capture potential changes in socioeconomic factors over the coming century and each of them corresponds to a different level of greenhouse gas concentration in the atmosphere. The SSP scenarios describe alternative socio-economic futures in the absence of climate policy intervention. These scenarios are used by researchers to assess and study the potential consequences of various levels of emissions and climate policies.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used	Physical climate scenarios <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCP 8.5
(5.1.1.2) Scenario used SSPs used in conjunction with scenario	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SSP5
(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative and quantitative
(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility
(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acute physical

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chronic physical
(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4.0°C and above
(5.1.1.7) Reference year 2023	2023
(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2025 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2030 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2040 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2050
(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario	Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Number of ecosystems impacted <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Speed of change (to state of nature and/or ecosystem services)
(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario	Resulting in the most severe climate change impacts, this "High Emissions" scenario represents a worst-case scenario where greenhouse gas emissions continue to increase throughout the 21st century without significant mitigation efforts, and applied by the Company in its climate-related physical risks assessment, the results of which are reported hereafter under the section headed "Strategy".
(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario	The RCPS capture potential changes in socioeconomic factors over the coming century and each of them corresponds to a different level of greenhouse gas concentration in the atmosphere. The SSP scenarios describe alternative socio-economic futures in the absence

	<p>of climate policy intervention. These scenarios are used by researchers to assess and study the potential consequences of various levels of emissions and climate policies.</p>
--	--

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

<p>(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios</p>	<p>Select all that apply</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management</p>
<p>(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis</p>	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organization-wide</p>
<p>(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues</p>	<p>In 2024, the Company has reported on climate matters in accordance with the requirements of the UK Companies Act. While requirements align with the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) Guidelines, they do not directly mirror them. The report includes matters on the corporate governance of climate-related risks and opportunities, management of climate-related risks and their impact on the organization's strategy, in addition to the metrics and targets used to assess and manage significant climate-related risks. Specifically, this analysis focuses on the quantitative analysis of physical climate-related risks, considering the Company's most important and strategic assets and an initial qualitative screening of climate-related opportunities. The Company has developed this analysis on climate-related physical risks across defined</p>

	<p>time horizons in the short, medium and long term, according to the timeframes reported earlier. Different climate-related scenarios are considered in the analysis, which refer to the Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) trajectories, which are modelled and adopted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The scenarios considered in the assessment are the following: - RCP2.6: is typically presented as the lowest emission scenario. This future is characterized by GHG emissions level stable until 2020, then declining and becoming negative in 2100. According to the current worldwide GHG emissions evolution, this trajectory seems hardly plausible. - RCP4.5: is described by the IPCC as an intermediate scenario. GHG emissions peak around 2040, then decline. RCP 4.5 can be thought of as the middle of the road scenario (no strong climate policies) taking into account the exhaustible character of non-renewable fuels. - RCP8.5: projects the reasonable worst-case scenario and is widely used for climate risk assessment and stress-testing. RCP8.5 was specifically selected as a high-end baseline scenario and was not intended to be portrayed as the most likely “business as usual” no-policy outcome. The perimeter of the physical risk analysis was set considering a pool of the Company's sites, based on their size, relevance and strategic importance to the Company's activities. The UK</p>
--	---

	<p>Companies Act 2006 does not require the analyses to be performed every year, but only if there are significant changes to the company or to the assumptions underneath the calculations, or at least every three years. For this reason, the analyses were confirmed for 2024. In 2025, the company was demerged following the sale of IGT's Gaming and Digital business ("IGT Gaming"), leaving the former IGT Lottery as its only remaining business. It was, nonetheless, possible to isolate the analyses results performed in 2023 related to the lottery division alone.</p>
--	---

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios	<p>Select all that apply</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management</p>
(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organization-wide</p>
(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues	<p>In 2024, the Company has reported on climate matters in accordance with the requirements of the UK Companies Act. While requirements align with the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) Guidelines, they do not directly mirror them. The report includes matters on the corporate governance of climate-related risks and opportunities, management of climate-related risks and their impact on the organization's strategy, in addition to the metrics and targets used to assess</p>

and manage significant climate-related risks. Specifically, this analysis focuses on the quantitative analysis of physical climate-related risks, considering the Company's most important and strategic assets and an initial qualitative screening of climate-related opportunities. The Company has developed this analysis on climate-related physical risks across defined time horizons in the short, medium and long term, according to the timeframes reported earlier. Different climate-related scenarios are considered in the analysis, which refer to the Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) trajectories, which are modelled and adopted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). The scenarios considered in the assessment are the following: - RCP2.6: is typically presented as the lowest emission scenario. This future is characterized by GHG emissions level stable until 2020, then declining and becoming negative in 2100. According to the current worldwide GHG emissions evolution, this trajectory seems hardly plausible. - RCP4.5: is described by the IPCC as an intermediate scenario. GHG emissions peak around 2040, then decline. RCP 4.5 can be thought of as the middle of the road scenario (no strong climate policies) taking into account the exhaustible character of non-renewable fuels. - RCP8.5: projects the reasonable worst-case scenario and is widely used for climate risk assessment and stress-

	<p>testing. RCP8.5 was specifically selected as a high-end baseline scenario and was not intended to be portrayed as the most likely “business as usual” no-policy outcome. The perimeter of the physical risk analysis was set considering a pool of the Company's sites, based on their size, relevance and strategic importance to the Company's activities. The UK Companies Act 2006 does not require the analyses to be performed every year, but only if there are significant changes to the company or to the assumptions underneath the calculations, or at least every three years. For this reason, the analyses were confirmed for 2024. In 2025, the company was demerged following the sale of IGT's Gaming and Digital business (“IGT Gaming”), leaving the former IGT Lottery as its only remaining business. It was, nonetheless, possible to isolate the analyses results performed in 2023 related to the lottery division alone.</p>
--	---

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition Plan	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years
Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan to align with a 1.5°C world	
Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world	The company is developing a Transition plan aligned with CPD criteria.

(5.2.2) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan to align with a 1.5°C world	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: The company is developing a Transition plan aligned with CDP criteria.
---	---

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We have not evaluated whether environmental risks and opportunities have affected our strategy and financial planning, but plan to do so within the next two years
(5.3.3) Primary reason why environmental risks and/or opportunities have not affected your strategy and/or financial planning	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No standardized procedure
(5.3.4) Explain why environmental risks and/or opportunities have not affected your strategy and/or financial planning	The Company does not have standardized procedures to evaluate whether environmental risks and opportunities have affected its strategy and financial planning. The Company's strategy and choices are aligning with climate risk mitigation, developing products that are increasingly less impactful, making product carbon footprints and LCAs, improving the efficiency of buildings, direct operations, and also acting on suppliers and the value chain.

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	<i>Select from:</i>

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to in the next two years
--	--

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

	Please explain
	<i>The Company does not monitor the trend of the organization's water-related CAPEX and OPEX for the reporting year.</i>

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to in the next two years
(5.10.3) Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No standardized procedure
(5.10.4) Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities	The Company does not have standardized procedures to accurately measuring and valuing these externalities. The Company is considering the implementation of internal pricing of environmental externalities as part of its long-term sustainability strategy. This involves the process of developing the necessary frameworks and tools to implement such pricing mechanisms.

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with the stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
--	--	-------------------------------------

	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

	Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years.
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years.

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue
---	---

(5.11.2.3) Primary reason for no supplier prioritization on this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We engage with all suppliers
(5.11.2.4) Please explain	All suppliers are required to accept and apply the Group's Code of Ethics and the Supplier Code of Conduct. No supplier prioritization is done about the engagement on environmental issues.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue
(5.11.2.3) Primary reason for no supplier prioritization on this environmental issue	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We engage with all suppliers
(5.11.2.4) Please explain	All suppliers are required to accept and apply the Group's Code of Ethics and the Supplier Code of Conduct. No supplier prioritization is done about the engagement on environmental issues.

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts
(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment	<p>If cases of non-compliance with the Company's Supplier Code of Conduct are found, the Company and its Suppliers will develop ways and means to correct the non-compliance, provided that the Company receives the commitment from the Suppliers to correct the non-compliance within due time. Suppliers must promptly inform the Company contact when any situation develops that causes Suppliers to operate in violation of the Supplier Code of Conduct.</p>
---------------------------	---

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts</p>
(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance</p>
(5.11.5.3) Comment	<p>If cases of non-compliance with the Company's Supplier Code of Conduct are found, the Company and its Suppliers will develop ways and means to correct the non-compliance, provided that the Company receives the commitment from the Suppliers to correct the non-compliance within due time. Suppliers must promptly inform the Company contact when any situation develops that causes Suppliers to operate in violation of the Supplier Code of Conduct.</p>

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Suppliers must comply with all applicable environmental laws, regulations, and standards, as well as implement an effective system to identify and eliminate potential hazards to the environment.</p>
(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement	<p>Select all that apply</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline</p>
(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%</p>
(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%</p>
(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%</p>
(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%</p>
(5.11.6.12) Comment	<p>All the Company suppliers must sign the Supplier Code of Conduct, since they are present in the contracts.</p>

Water

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Suppliers must comply with all applicable environmental laws, regulations, and standards, as well as implement an effective system to identify and eliminate potential hazards to the environment.</p>
(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement	<p>Select all that apply</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grievance mechanism/ Whistleblowing hotline</p>
(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%</p>
(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%</p>
(5.11.6.12) Comment	<p>All the Company suppliers must sign the Supplier Code of Conduct, since they are present in the contracts.</p>

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No other supplier engagement</p>
--	---

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No other supplier engagement</p>
--	---

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement
--	--

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customers
(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement	Education/Information sharing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Run an engagement campaign to educate stakeholders about the environmental impacts about your products, goods and/or services <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements Innovation and collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Run a campaign to encourage innovation to reduce environmental impacts
(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%
(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unknown
(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement	To communicate its commitment to environmental stewardship, the Company publishes an annual sustainability report that is readily available to the public. This report provides an in-depth look at the company's environmental initiatives,

	<p>progress, and impacts. It outlines the Company's sustainability strategy, goals, and performance metrics, demonstrating how the company integrates environmental considerations into its business operations. The Company uses various communication tools and channels to ensure information is appropriately distributed. Across all channels, such information touches on a variety of topics from financial and economic news to organizational updates and sustainability (as well as climate change information), new product launches, policies, programs, and stories about individual accomplishments, among other topics.</p>
(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success	<p>The combination of transparent communication via its public website and comprehensive reporting through its annual sustainability report allow the Company to create awareness among its various stakeholders along its value chain regarding its environmental initiatives, progresses, and impacts.</p>

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customers</p>
(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement	<p>Education/Information sharing</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Run an engagement campaign to educate stakeholders about the environmental impacts about your products, goods and/or services</p>

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements Innovation and collaboration <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Run a campaign to encourage innovation to reduce environmental impacts
(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%
(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement	To communicate its commitment to environmental stewardship, the Company publishes an annual sustainability report that is readily available to the public. This report provides an in-depth look at the company's environmental initiatives, progress, and impacts. It outlines the Company's sustainability strategy, goals, and performance metrics, demonstrating how the company integrates environmental considerations into its business operations. The Company uses various communication tools and channels to ensure information is appropriately distributed. Across all channels, such information touches on a variety of topics from financial and economic news to organizational updates and sustainability (as well as climate change information), new product launches, policies, programs, and stories about individual accomplishments, among other topics.
(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success	The combination of transparent communication via its public website

	<p>and comprehensive reporting through its annual sustainability report allow the Company to create awareness among its various stakeholders along its value chain regarding its environmental initiatives, progresses, and impacts.</p>
--	--

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors and shareholders</p>
(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement	<p>Education/Information sharing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements</p>
(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unknown</p>
(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unknown</p>
(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement	<p>The Company actively engages with stakeholders who significantly influence its success, such as regulators, the financial community, suppliers, communities, players, customers, and employees. These groups of stakeholders have been identified as important, as they fulfil at least one of two criteria: the stakeholder group exerts a strong influence on the economic, environmental, or social performance of the Company and/or the stakeholder group is strongly affected by the economic, environmental, or social performance. The Company cooperates with regulatory authorities by attending</p>

	<p>meetings and sharing insights and updates, to maintain, renew, and expand the Company's global regulatory licensing portfolio. Several investor conferences and roadshows provide opportunities to meet with investors and business analysts. The Company engages with the financial community by communicating with shareholders, investors, banks, and rating agencies. This group is interested in the Group's financial performance and prospects and plays an instrumental role in shaping the Company's financial stability and future expansion. The Company uses various communication tools and channels to ensure information is appropriately distributed. Across all channels, such information touches on a variety of topics from financial and economic news to organizational updates, new product launches, policies, programs, and stories about individual accomplishments, among other topics. The Company ensures that consumers have access to comprehensive information about its products through its public website. The website serves as a central hub where customers can learn about the latest gaming technologies, services, and solutions offered by the Company. The website is designed to be user-friendly and informative, catering to the needs of various stakeholders, including players, regulators, and investors. To communicate its commitment to environmental</p>
--	---

	<p>stewardship, the Company publishes an annual sustainability report that is readily available to the public. This report provides an in-depth look at the Group's environmental initiatives, progress, and impacts. It outlines the Company's sustainability strategy, goals, and performance metrics.</p>
<p>(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success</p>	<p>The combination of transparent communication, of engaging with the relevant stakeholders, such as investors, bank, rating agencies, via events, allow the Company to create awareness regarding its environmental initiatives, progresses, and impacts.</p>

Water

<p>(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder</p>	<p>Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors and shareholders</p>
<p>(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement</p>	<p>Education/Information sharing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements</p>
<p>(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged</p>	<p>Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unknown</p>
<p>(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement</p>	<p>The Company actively engages with stakeholders who significantly influence its success, such as regulators, the financial community, suppliers, communities, players, customers, and employees. These groups of stakeholders have been identified as important, as they fulfil at least one of two criteria: the stakeholder group exerts a strong influence on the economic,</p>

	<p>environmental, or social performance of the Company and/or the stakeholder group is strongly affected by the economic, environmental, or social performance. The Company cooperates with regulatory authorities by attending meetings and sharing insights and updates, to maintain, renew, and expand the Company's global regulatory licensing portfolio. Several investor conferences and roadshows provide opportunities to meet with investors and business analysts. The Company engages with the financial community by communicating with shareholders, investors, banks, and rating agencies. This group is interested in the Group's financial performance and prospects and plays an instrumental role in shaping the Company's financial stability and future expansion. The Company uses various communication tools and channels to ensure information is appropriately distributed. Across all channels, such information touches on a variety of topics from financial and economic news to organizational updates, new product launches, policies, programs, and stories about individual accomplishments, among other topics. The Company ensures that consumers have access to comprehensive information about its products through its public website. The website serves as a central hub where customers can learn about the latest gaming technologies, services, and solutions offered by the Company.</p>
--	---

	<p>The website is designed to be user-friendly and informative, catering to the needs of various stakeholders, including players, regulators, and investors. To communicate its commitment to environmental stewardship, the Company publishes an annual sustainability report that is readily available to the public. This report provides an in-depth look at the Group's environmental initiatives, progress, and impacts. It outlines the Company's sustainability strategy, goals, and performance metrics</p>
(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success	<p>The combination of transparent communication, of engaging with the relevant stakeholders, such as investors, bank, rating agencies, via events, allow the Company to create awareness regarding its environmental initiatives, progresses, and impacts.</p>

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control</p>
(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach	<p>The Company has adopted the operational control approach for the consolidation of its environmental impacts in the accounting of its environmental performance data. This approach has been selected because it allows the Company to exercise full authority over the implementation of its operating policies across its operations, ensuring that the environmental data reflects the company's direct influence on its business activities. Under the operational control approach, the Company includes all entities over which it or its subsidiaries have the authority to introduce and implement operating policies. This means that the environmental performance data encompasses all sites where the Company has the power to govern the operational activities, including energy consumption, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, water usage, and waste generation. The Company's commitment to environmental stewardship is demonstrated through its continuous improvement in data gathering and consolidation processes. The company has made significant strides in enhancing the accuracy and</p>

	<p>reliability of its environmental data. In 2021, the Company further advanced its data collection capabilities by introducing a third-party tool, which enhanced the effectiveness of the process</p>
--	---

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control</p>
(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach	<p>The Company has adopted the operational control approach for the consolidation of its environmental impacts in the accounting of its environmental performance data. This approach has been selected because it allows the Company to exercise full authority over the implementation of its operating policies across its operations, ensuring that the environmental data reflects the company's direct influence on its business activities. Under the operational control approach, the Company includes all entities over which it or its subsidiaries have the authority to introduce and implement operating policies. This means that the environmental performance data encompasses all sites where the Company has the power to govern the operational activities, including energy consumption, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, water usage, and waste generation. The Company's commitment to environmental</p>

	<p>stewardship is demonstrated through its continuous improvement in data gathering and consolidation processes. The company has made significant strides in enhancing the accuracy and reliability of its environmental data. In 2021, the Company further advanced its data collection capabilities by introducing a third-party tool, which enhanced the effectiveness of the process.</p>
--	---

Plastics

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control</p>
(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach	<p>The Company has adopted the operational control approach for the consolidation of its environmental impacts in the accounting of its environmental performance data. The data collection is performed via direct interactions with the operating sites of the Company. Single reports are eventually aggregated to provide a full picture.</p>

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :N/A</p>
(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach	<p>N/A</p>

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change
(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

(7.1.1.1) Has there been a structural change?	<p>Select all that apply</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, a divestment</p>
(7.1.1.2) Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with	<p>International Game Technology PLC, doing business as Brightstar Lottery ("the Company"), completed the sale of its Gaming & Digital business to a holding company owned by funds managed by affiliates of Apollo Global Management, Inc. on July 1, 2025. Effective July 2, 2025, the Company began its operations under the name, Brightstar Lottery.</p>
(7.1.1.3) Details of structural change(s), including completion dates	<p>International Game Technology PLC, doing business as Brightstar Lottery ("the Company"), completed the sale of its Gaming & Digital business to a holding company owned by funds managed by affiliates of Apollo Global Management, Inc. on July 1, 2025. Effective July 2, 2025, the Company began its operations under the name, Brightstar Lottery.</p>

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

(7.1.2.1) Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, a change in boundary
(7.1.2.2) Details of methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition change(s)	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundary of GHG inventory is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

	Base your recalculation	Base your emissions recalculation policy, including significance threshold	Past years' recalculation
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, because we do not have the data yet and plan to recalculate next year	N/A	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment

	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	<i>The Company reports every year Scope 2, both location-based and market-based figures.</i>
--	---	---	--

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/30/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	31960
(7.5.3) Methodological details	Scope 1 emissions were calculated by considering the company's fuel consumption, including the consumption from buildings and the fleet. The methodology used for GHG calculation is based on the GHG Protocol. Fuels and operations were factored in through the U.K. Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) conversion protocol within the reporting methodology

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	40894.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details	<p>Scope 2 Location-based emissions were calculated following the calculation methodology provided by the GHG Protocol. Specifically, considering the total electricity consumption of the company and the relevant emission factor specific to each country where the Company operates was applied. GHG emissions related to electricity were computed according to the emission factors (EFs) issued by Terna, and ISPRA, except for U.S. states that were referenced according to state-based U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EFs.</p>
---------------------------------------	--

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	46602.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	<p>Scope 2 Market-Based emissions were calculated following the calculation methodology provided by the GHG Protocol. Specifically, considering the total electricity consumption of the company (excluding the consumption of energy from renewable sources, self-produced or purchased), the relevant emission factor specific to each country where the Company operates was applied. GHG emissions related to electricity were computed according to the emission factors (EFs) issued by Terna, AIB, and ISPRA, except for U.S. states that were referenced according to state-based U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EFs.</p>

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	832204.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	<p>Category 1 emissions of the Company include services and the purchase of major products for its businesses, such as mainly: paper (office paper, holographic paper, thermal paper and packaging), cardboard, toner and liquid inks, plastics (packaging and cabinets parts), electronic components (assemblies, PCBs, monitors, bill acceptors), wood, metals and others. The data on category 1 emissions data was updated compared to the values reported within the previous CDP, since following the publication of this last, a more detailed dataset became available - in particular, relating to the material composition of some purchased products contributing to the computation of Category 1 of Scope 3 emissions, which made it possible to improve the calculations compared to the previously available data. The emissions were computed using Ecoinvent emission factors for purchased goods, while for services related emissions DEFRA spend-based emission factors were used.</p>

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	15518.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	Category 2 emissions of the Company include services and the purchase of

	property plant and equipment and of intangible assets. Capital goods' emissions were calculated using the spend-based method. The emissions were computed using DEFRA spend-based emission factors.
--	---

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	15290.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	The Company Category 3 emissions include emissions related to the consumption of electricity, natural gas, diesel, and propane. Emission related to fuel consumption has been calculated using the Well-to-tank (WTT) emission factors for 2024 provided by DEFRA, while the Generation and Transportation and Distribution (T&D) emission factors of the same dataset have been used to compute the emission related to electricity.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	33769.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	The Company's Category 4 emissions include emissions related to transportation and distribution activities through air, road or marine transport. Emission related to transportation have been calculated using the emission factors for 2024 provided by DEFRA. Please note that

	Well-to-tank (WTT) related emissions were also considered.
--	--

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	25492.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	The Company's Category 5 emissions include emissions related to the disposal of its waste, which is mainly non-hazardous waste and of the following types: wet waste, plastic, paper and cardboard, e-waste, metal and other. For each type of waste, the specific market for emission factor related to its disposal was used, specifically from the Ecoinvent dataset.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	13966.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	The Company's Category 6 emissions include emissions related to business travel by its employees, mainly via rental car, train and airplane. These emissions have been computed using emission factors equal to the CO2e on the distance per vehicle type, provided by DEFRA for 2024. Please note that Well-to-tank (WTT) related emissions were also considered.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
-----------------------	------------

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	25025.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	<p>The Company's Category 7 emissions include emissions related to employees commuting to their workplace, mainly via car. These emissions have been computed using emission factors equal to the CO2e on the distance per vehicle type, provided by DEFRA for 2024. Please note that well-to-tank related emissions were also considered.</p>

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	2127.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	<p>Emissions in the Company category 8 include emissions related to electricity consumption of co-location leased data centers. These emissions have been computed by using an average electricity consumption per rack., The emissions are then calculated by using the emission factors for electricity issued by Terna, AIB and ISPRA, except for U.S. states that were referenced according to state-based U.S. Green-e EFs.</p>

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	9665.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	<p>The Company's Category 9 emissions include emissions related to the downstream transportation and distribution activities through air, road</p>

	or marine transports. Emissions related to transportation have been calculated using the emission factor provided by DEFRA. Please note that well-to-tank related emissions were also considered
--	--

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/30/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	Not applicable for the Company's business, since there are no process activities of the product sold.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	910882.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	The Company's Category 11 emissions include emissions related to the use of the goods sold. The emissions are related to the number of machines sold in the year 2019, the average energy consumption in a year per machine and the lifetime. The emissions are then calculated by using the emission factors for electricity issued by Terna, AIB and ISPRA, except for U.S. states that were referenced according to state-based U.S. Green-e EFs.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	56810.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details	The Company's Category 12 emissions include emissions related the end-of-life treatment of the products sold. The emissions depend on the number of units of each product sold, and the materials of which they were composed. The emissions are then calculated by using the emission factors provided by DEFRA.
--------------------------------	---

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	77508.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	The Company's Category 13 emissions include emissions related the assets leased to other entities. The emissions depend on the number of units of each product leased, and the energy consumption. The emissions are then calculated by using the emission factors for electricity issued by Terna, AIB and ISPRA, except for U.S. states that were referenced according to state-based U.S. Green-e EFs.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	The calculation of this category is not applicable to the Company, because it is not a franchisor.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/31/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	4176.0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	The Company's Category 15 emissions have been calculated and considered not relevant because under the 5% threshold of the already computed categories and because the Company does not provide financial services and investments are not its core business.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/30/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	Category not evaluated

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end	12/30/2019
(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.5.3) Methodological details	Category not evaluated

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	18117
(7.6.3) Methodological details	Scope 1 emissions were calculated by considering the company's fuel consumption, including the consumption from buildings and the fleet. The methodology used for GHG calculation is based on the GHG

	<p>Protocol. Fuels and operations were factored in through the U.K. Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) conversion protocol within the reporting methodology.</p>
--	--

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	21492
(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	19586
(7.7.4) Methodological details	<p>Scope 2 Location-based emissions were calculated following the calculation methodology provided by the GHG Protocol. Specifically, considering the total electricity consumption of the company and the relevant emission factor specific to each country where the Company operates was applied. GHG emissions related to electricity were computed according to the emission factors (EFs) issued by Terna, and ISPRA, except for U.S. states that were referenced according to state-based U.S. Green-E EFs. Scope 2 Market-Based emissions were calculated following the calculation methodology provided by the GHG Protocol. Specifically, considering the total electricity consumption of the company (excluding the consumption of energy from renewable sources, self-produced or purchased), the relevant emission factor specific to each country where</p>

	<p>the Company operates was applied. GHG emissions related to electricity were computed according to the emission factors (EFs) issued by Terna, AIB, and ISPRA, except for U.S. states that were referenced according to state-based U.S. Green-E EFs.</p>
--	---

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant, calculated
(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	163587
(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spend-based method <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average product method
(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners	0
(7.8.5) Please explain	Category 1 emissions of the Company include services and the purchase of major products for its businesses, such as mainly: paper (office paper, holographic paper, thermal paper and packaging), cardboard, toner and liquid inks, plastics (packaging and cabinets parts), electronic components (assemblies, PCBs, monitors, bill acceptors), wood, metals and others. Services' emissions were calculated using the spend-based method, while the products' emission were calculated considering the weight of the

	<p>purchased materials, included in the procurement files. The emissions were computed using Ecoinvent emission factor for purchased goods, while for services' related emissions DEFRA spend-based emission factors were used</p>
--	--

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant, calculated
(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	7696
(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spend-based method
(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners	0
(7.8.5) Please explain	Category 2 emissions of the Company include services and the purchase of property. Plant and equipment and of intangible assets. Capital goods' emissions were calculated using the spend-based method, considering DEFRA spend-based emission factors.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant, calculated
(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	10203
(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fuel-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners	0
(7.8.5) Please explain	<p>The Company Category 3 emissions include emissions related to the consumption of electricity, natural gas, diesel, and propane. Emission related to fuel consumption have been calculated using the Well-to-tank (WTT) emission factors for 2024 provided by DEFRA, while the Generation and Transportation and Distribution (T&D) emission factors of the same dataset have been used to compute the emission related to electricity.</p>

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant, calculated
(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	4012
(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Distance-based method
(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners	0
(7.8.5) Please explain	<p>The Company's Category 4 emissions include emissions related to the transportation and distribution activities through air, road or marine transports. Emission related to transportation have been calculated using the emission factors for 2024 provided by DEFRA. Please note that</p>

	well-to-tank related emissions were also considered.
--	--

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant, calculated
(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	20738
(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average data method
(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners	0
(7.8.5) Please explain	The Company's Category 5 emissions include emissions related to the disposal of its waste, which is mainly non-hazardous waste and of the following types: wet waste, plastic, paper and cardboard, e-waste, metal and other. For each type of waste, the specific market for emission factor related to its disposal was used, specifically from the Ecoinvent dataset.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant, calculated
(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	8711
(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners	0
(7.8.5) Please explain	<p>The Company's Category 6 emissions include emissions related to work-related travel by its employees, mainly via rental car, train and airplane. These emissions have been computed using the emission factors equal to the CO2e on the distance per vehicle type, provided by DEFRA for 2024. To this the well to tank emissions were added, as indicated by SBT. The emission factor used was provided by DEFRA for 2024.</p>

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant, calculated
(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	6273
(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Distance-based method
(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners	0
(7.8.5) Please explain	<p>The Company's Category 7 emissions include emissions related to work-related travel by its employees, mainly via rental car, train and airplane. These emissions have been computed using the emission factors equal to the CO2e on the distance per vehicle type, provided by DEFRA for 2024. Please note that well-to-tank related emissions were also considered.</p>

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant, calculated
(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	5268
(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Data center electricity consumption
(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners	0
(7.8.5) Please explain	Emissions in the Company category 8 include emissions related to electricity consumption of co-location leased data centers. These emissions have been computed by using an average electricity consumption per rack. The emissions are then calculated by using the emission factors for electricity issued by Terna, AIB and ISPRA, except for U.S. states that were referenced according to state-based U.S. Green-e EFs.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant, calculated
(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	2567
(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Distance-based method
(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners	0

(7.8.5) Please explain	<p>The Company's Category 9 emissions include emissions related to the downstream transportation and distribution activities through air, road or marine transports. Emission related to transportation have been calculated using the emission factors for 2024 provided by DEFRA. Please note that well-to-tank related emissions were also considered.</p>
------------------------	---

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant, explanation provided</p>
(7.8.5) Please explain	<p>Not applicable for the Company's business, since there are no process activities of the product sold.</p>

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant, calculated</p>
(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	28635
(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology	<p>Select all that apply</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Methodology for direct use phase emissions, please specify :Average energy consumption and lifespan of products sold, specifically related to lottery (e.g., lottery terminals)</p>
(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners	0
(7.8.5) Please explain	<p>The Company's Category 11 emissions include emissions generated from the</p>

	<p>use of goods and services sold or leased in the reporting year, i.e., Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions of end users. End users include consumers and business customers that use final products. For Brightstar, this category includes the emissions generated by electricity consumption related to the use of lottery terminals throughout their entire lifespan. The emissions are related to the number of machines sold or leased in the reporting year, the average energy consumption per year and the expected lifetime, or the duration of the leasing contracts. The emissions are then calculated by using the market-based emission factors for electricity issued by Terna, AIB and ISPRA, depending on the geographical location where the products are sold, except for U.S. states that were referenced according to state-based U.S. Green-e EFs.</p>
--	---

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant, calculated
(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	46209
(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Methodology for direct use phase emissions, please specify :Direct use-phase emissions from products that directly consume energy (fuels or electricity) during use

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners	0
(7.8.5) Please explain	The Company's Category 12 emissions include emissions related the end-of-life treatment of the products sold. The emissions depend on the number of units of each product sold, and the materials of which they were composed. The emissions are then calculated by using the emission factors for 2024 provided by Ecoinvent.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant, explanation provided
(7.8.5) Please explain	Category 13 is not applicable to Brightstar, as the emissions associated with the use of leased goods have been calculated using the same calculation methodology and, therefore, in accordance with the GHG Protocol, are fully allocated to Category 11.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant, explanation provided
(7.8.5) Please explain	The calculation of this category is not applicable to the Company, because it is not a franchisor.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant, calculated
---------------------------	--

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	1781
(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spend-based method
(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners	0
(7.8.5) Please explain	The Company's Category 15 emissions are associated with investments in the reporting year, not already included in Scope 1 or Scope 2. The calculation is made using the spend-based method and the DEFRA emission factors were used.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not evaluated
(7.8.5) Please explain	Category not evaluated

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not evaluated
(7.8.5) Please explain	Category not evaluated

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual process
(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Underway but not complete for reporting year – previous statement of process attached
(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Limited assurance
(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement	IGT - Sustainability Report 2023-compressed.pdf
(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference	Pag. 146, 147
(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISAE3000
(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)	100

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 2 location-based
(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Underway but not complete for reporting year – previous statement of process attached
(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Limited assurance
(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement	IGT - Sustainability Report 2023-compressed.pdf
(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference	Pag. 146, 147
(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISAE3000
(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)	100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 2 market-based
(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual process
(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Underway but not complete for reporting year – previous statement of process attached
(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Limited assurance
(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement	IGT - Sustainability Report 2023-compressed.pdf
(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference	Pag. 146, 147
(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISAE3000

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)	100
---	-----

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Investments <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Upstream leased assets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Capital goods <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Purchased goods and services <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Business travel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Waste generated in operations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Employee commuting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: End-of-life treatment of sold products <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Use of sold products <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual process
(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Underway but not complete for reporting year – previous statement of process attached

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Limited assurance
(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement	IGT - Sustainability Report 2023-compressed.pdf
(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference	Pag. 146, 147
(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ISAE3000
(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)	100

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions	0
(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)	0
(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation	N/A

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)	0
(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation	N/A

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)	17571
(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decreased
(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)	32
(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation	International Game Technology PLC, doing business as Brightstar Lottery ("the Company"), completed the sale of its Gaming & Digital business to a holding company owned by funds managed by affiliates of Apollo Global Management, Inc. on July 1, 2025. Effective July 2, 2025, the Company began its operations under the name, Brightstar Lottery. The amount reported refers to the delta of Scope 1 and Scope 2 Market-based combined, between 2023 and 2024. Similarly, the emission value refers to the same delta in percentage.

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No change
(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)	0
(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation	N/A

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No change
(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)	0
(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation	N/A

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No change
(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)	0
(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation	N/A

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No change
(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)	0
(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation	N/A

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No change
(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)	0
(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation	N/A

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No change
(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)	0
(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation	N/A

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No change
(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)	0
(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation	N/A

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

Market-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:

No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

Yes

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CO2
(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)	17757
(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CH4
(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)	48
(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N2O
(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)	46
(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 4

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HFCs
(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)	257
(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

Row 5

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: HCFCs
(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)	8
(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (AR6 - 100 year)

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Antigua and Barbuda

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	15
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	15

Argentina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	73
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	53
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	53

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	431
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	523
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	523

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	146
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	155
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	0

Barbados

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	43
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	29
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	29

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	42
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	30
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	30

Bulgaria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	2
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	2

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	106
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	352
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	210

Chile

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	10
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	27
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	27

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	201
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	201

Costa Rica

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	65
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	65

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	35
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	24
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	24

Dominican Republic

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	22
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	22

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	3
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	0

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	6
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	18
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	18

Gibraltar

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	2
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	2

Greece

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	33
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	30
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	30

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	174
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	174

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	2
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	0
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	0

Israel

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	21
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	6
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	6

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	674
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	1557
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	3

Jamaica

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	226
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	116
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	116

Latvia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	1
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	1

Luxembourg

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	6
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	0

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	67
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	377
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	377

Monaco

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	12
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	12

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	44
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	572
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	573

Peru

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	64
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	64

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	10
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	10

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	184
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	675
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	675

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	6
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	8
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	8

Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	6
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	15
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	15

Saint Kitts and Nevis

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	9
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	9

Serbia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	377
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	0

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	42
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	42

Sint Maarten (Dutch part)

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	13
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	13

Slovakia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	12
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	12

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	74
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	0

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	61
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	62
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	62

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	1
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	1

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	8
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)	0

Trinidad and Tobago

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	1
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	99
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	99

Ukraine

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	1
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	5
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	5

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	39
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	121
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	121

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	15771
(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	15604
(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO ₂ e)	15937

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

By activity

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Warehouse activity</i>	1365
Row 2	<i>Office activity</i>	1536
Row 3	<i>Fleet</i>	12800
Row 4	<i>Manufacturing activity</i>	2142
Row 5	<i>Data Centre</i>	274

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

By activity

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Warehouse activity</i>	3298	3128
Row 2	<i>Office activity</i>	7977	6045
Row 3	<i>Fleet</i>	4021	4179
Row 4	<i>Manufacturing activity</i>	6	7
Row 5	<i>Data Centre</i>	6190	6227

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	18117
(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	21492
(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	19586
(7.22.4) Please explain	The data on GHG Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions pertains to the entire company. There are no values related to any other entities.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.22.4) Please explain	The data on GHG Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions pertains to the entire company. There are no values related to any other entities.

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

No

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unable to confirm heating value
(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources	0
(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources	74668
(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh	74668.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unable to confirm heating value
(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources	10064
(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources	56309
(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh	66373.00

Total Energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unable to confirm heating value
(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources	10064
(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources	130796
(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh	141040.00

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for the co-generation or tri-generation	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unable to confirm heating value
(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization	0
(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity	0
(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat	0
(7.30.7.8) Comment	The Company does not consume this fuel in its operations.

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unable to confirm heating value
(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization	0
(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity	0
(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat	0
(7.30.7.8) Comment	The Company does not consume this fuel in its operations.

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unable to confirm heating value
(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization	0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity	0
(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat	0
(7.30.7.8) Comment	The Company does not consume this fuel in its operations.

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unable to confirm heating value
(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization	0
(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity	0
(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat	0
(7.30.7.8) Comment	The Company does not consume this fuel in its operations.

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unable to confirm heating value
(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization	0
(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity	0
(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat	0
(7.30.7.8) Comment	The Company does not consume this fuel in its operations.

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unable to confirm heating value
--------------------------	---

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization	24191
(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity	0
(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat	24191
(7.30.7.8) Comment	The Company does not consume this fuel in its operations.

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unable to confirm heating value
(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization	50477
(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity	0
(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat	0
(7.30.7.8) Comment	The Company does not consume this fuel in its operations.

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unable to confirm heating value
(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization	75668
(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity	0
(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat	24191
(7.30.7.8) Comment	N/A

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy
(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)
(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electricity
(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :Sustainable biomass, wind and PV
(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)	6914
(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GO
(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy
(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(7.30.14.10) Comment	The Company in 2024 purchased Renewable Energy Certificates for the whole Italian sites. Please note that this energy was produced in the countries Italy, France and Denmark, but only one option appears to be selectable in the previous item. However, only Italy was

	selected as it corresponds to the largest contribution.
--	---

Row 3

(7.30.14.1) Country/area	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada
(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)
(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electricity
(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :Biogas, biomass, hydro, wind, solar, non-emitting nuclear
(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)	1166
(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GO
(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Canada
(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(7.30.14.10) Comment	The Company in 2024 purchased Renewable Energy Certificates for the Moncton Site.

Row 5

(7.30.14.1) Country/area	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Serbia
(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)
(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electricity
(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :Solar, Hydroelectric
(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)	947
(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GO
(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Serbia
(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(7.30.14.10) Comment	The Company in 2024 purchased Renewable Energy Certificates for the Belgrade Site.

Row 6

(7.30.14.1) Country/area	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria
(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)
(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Electricity
(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low-carbon energy mix, please specify :Sustainable biomass, wind, hydropower with capacity unknown, and PV
(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)	1037
(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GO
(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria
(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(7.30.14.10) Comment	The Company in 2024 purchased Renewable Energy Certificates for the Premstatten Site.

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Antigua and Barbuda

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	48.35
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	48.35

Argentina

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	191.87
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	191.87

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	837.09
--	--------

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	837.09

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	1037.33
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	1037.33

Barbados

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	91.64
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	91.64
---	-------

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	198.33
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	198.33

Bulgaria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	7.42
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	7.42

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	2885.82
--	---------

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	2885.82

Chile

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	84.43
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	84.43

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	15.09
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	15.09
---	-------

Costa Rica

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	205.04
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	205.04

Czechia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	54.85
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	54.85

Dominican Republic

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	69.94
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	69.94

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	0

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	52.17
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	52.17

Gibraltar

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	6.19
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	6.19

Greece

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	116.52
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	116.52

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	254.57
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	254.57

Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	0

Israel

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	11.18
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	11.18

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	6914.15
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	6914.15

Jamaica

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	364.51
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	364.51

Latvia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	14.91
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	14.91
---	-------

Luxembourg

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	0

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	1186.74
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	1186.74

Monaco

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	41.02
--	-------

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	41.02

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	2167.67
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	2167.67

Peru

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	201.09
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	201.09
---	--------

Philippines

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	17.89
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	17.89

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	1098.79
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	1098.79

Portugal

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	64.91
--	-------

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	64.91

Romania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	64.29
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	64.29

Saint Kitts and Nevis

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	29.70
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	29.70
---	-------

Serbia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	946.90
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	946.90

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	72.07
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	72.07

Sint Maarten (Dutch part)

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	39.60
--	-------

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	39.60

Slovakia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	142.35
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	142.35

South Africa

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	0
---	---

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	394.6
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	394.6

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	169.63
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	169.63

Switzerland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	0
--	---

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	0

Trinidad and Tobago

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	310.1
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	310.1

Ukraine

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	15.09
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	15.09
---	-------

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	463.18
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	463.18

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)	45127.07
(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)	0
(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)	45127.07

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO₂e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure	0.015
(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)	37703
(7.45.3) Metric denominator	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> unit total revenue
(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total	2512000
(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Market-based
(7.45.6) % change from previous year	17
(7.45.7) Direction of change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increased
(7.45.8) Reasons for change	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Divestment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in boundary
(7.45.9) Please explain	International Game Technology PLC, doing business as Brightstar Lottery ("the Company"), completed the sale of its Gaming & Digital business to a holding company owned by funds managed by affiliates of Apollo Global Management, Inc. on July 1, 2025. Effective July 2, 2025, the Company began its operations under the name, Brightstar Lottery. The intensity reported refers to the Lottery business' Scope 1 and Scope 2 Market-based emissions and revenues for 2024. Considering the divestment and the consequent change in the reporting boundary, the comparison with the previous years shows a slight delta.

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Energy usage
(7.52.2) Metric value	0.07
(7.52.3) Metric numerator	Scope 1 + Scope 2 (MB) Metric Tons of CO2e
(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)	GJ of energy consumed
(7.52.5) % change from previous year	5
(7.52.6) Direction of change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decreased
(7.52.7) Please explain	International Game Technology PLC, doing business as Brightstar Lottery ("the Company"), completed the sale of its Gaming & Digital business to a holding company owned by funds managed by affiliates of Apollo Global Management, Inc. on July 1, 2025. Effective July 2, 2025, the Company began its operations under the name, Brightstar Lottery. The emissive intensity, calculated based on the company's total energy consumption, has experienced a slight decrease between 2023 and 2024, amounting to -5%. This is due to a different energy mix used by the Company, compared to the previous year.

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abs 4
(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative
(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter	IGT-SBTi Press Release.pdf
(7.53.1.4) Target ambition	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.5°C aligned
(7.53.1.5) Date target was set	12/20.2021
(7.53.1.6) Target coverage	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organization-wide
(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon dioxide (CO2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Methane (CH4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nitrous oxide (N2O)
(7.53.1.8) Scopes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3
(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 6 – Business travel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 5 – Waste generated in operations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 11 – Use of sold products <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 12 – End-of-life treatment of sold products <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 8 - Upstream leased assets

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 13 – Downstream leased assets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 9 – Downstream transportation and distribution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)
(7.53.1.11) End date of base year	
(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.16) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.18) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.19) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.21) Base year Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.22) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and	

distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.24) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.25) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.26) Base year Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)	100
(7.53.1.37) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)	100
(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)	100

(7.53.1.39) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)	100
(7.53.1.40) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)	100
(7.53.1.42) Base year Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)	100
(7.53.1.43) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)	100
(7.53.1.45) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)	100
(7.53.1.46) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)	100
(7.53.1.47) Base year Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets	100

emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)	100
(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes	100
(7.53.1.54) End date of target	12/30/2030
(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)	30
(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)	1384399.100
(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	163584
(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	10203
(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	4012
(7.53.1.63) Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	21317
(7.53.1.64) Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	8711

(7.53.1.66) Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	5268
(7.53.1.67) Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	2648
(7.53.1.69) Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	28635
(7.53.1.70) Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	46209
(7.53.1.71) Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	290587.000
(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)	290587.000
(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)
(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year	284.36
(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Underway
(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions	The Company increases its commitment to the fight against climate change by setting this SBT reduction target on Scope 3 emissions

	<p>aiming for a 30% reduction by 2030 versus 2019, in line with the 1.5°C path. This target has already been validated by the Science Based Targets initiative in July 2023, and in August 2023 the Company published a dedicated communication in this regard, and the communication on the SBT website are available from August 3, 2023. Please note that categories 2 (Capital goods), 7 (Employee commuting) and 15 (Investments) were not included in the Abs 4 target, for reasons of implementing possible emission reduction initiatives and because they result residual compared to the other Scope 3 categories. International Game Technology PLC, doing business as Brightstar Lottery ("the Company"), completed the sale of its Gaming & Digital business to a holding company owned by funds managed by affiliates of Apollo Global Management, Inc. on July 1, 2025. Effective July 2, 2025, the Company began its operations under the name, Brightstar Lottery. SBTi will be revised accordingly.</p>
(7.53.1.83) Target objective	<p>Climate change is recognized as an imminent threat to society and the natural environment, necessitating a determined and responsible response. The impact of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from direct operations, as well as from upstream and downstream activities such as logistics, suppliers' operations, the use of sold products, and their end-of-life treatment, is acknowledged. In response to this, significant commitments have been</p>

	<p>made to reduce emissions. Science-based targets have been adopted to cut GHG emissions, ensuring that actions are aligned with scientific evidence and best environmental practices. These efforts are encapsulated in the decarbonization pathway project, which serves as a testament to the hard work and dedication invested in combating climate change. This decarbonization project is not merely a token of commitment but a central component of the Sustainability Plan, particularly within the "Climate Action, Biodiversity and Circularity" pillar. The plan highlights a collective determination to steer the industry towards a more sustainable future, embracing practices that minimize environmental impact and encourage responsible ecological advancement. By taking these concrete steps, a leadership role is assumed in the adoption of sustainable strategies, proving that business success and environmental stewardship can indeed go hand in hand.</p>
<p>(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year</p>	<p>This target is part of the Decarbonization Pathway, which started in 2021. The Commitment's commitment to the fight against climate change is represented by its decarbonization pathway project, a key part of the Company's Sustainability Plan under the pillar 'Climate Action, Biodiversity and Circularity.' In 2022, the first step of the Company Decarbonization Pathway project involved completing the Company's</p>

	<p>inventory of GHG emissions, which for the first time included all applicable emissions occurring along the value chain (i.e., Scope 3 emissions), thus allowing the company to understand the full extent of its carbon footprint and provide a more comprehensive disclosure to its stakeholders. After joining the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) at the end of 2021, committing to reduce GHG emissions according to scientific evidence, the Company submitted near-term and net-zero science-based targets in October 2022. These were validated by the SBTi in July 2023, and the Company published a dedicated communication in this regard. The communication on the SBT website has been available since August 3, 2023. the Company's plans to meet this target may include the following: defining policies aimed at raising employee awareness of the use of resources; moving DCs located at the Company sites to Cloud Service and to co-location DCs committed to reducing their GHG emissions; engaging suppliers to reduce the emissions related to electronic and energy-demanding products; implementing an eco-design studio to perform an LCA assessment and reduce the overall impact of the products sold by evaluating their entire life cycle; implementing better practices for outbound logistics to minimize the GHG emissions related to the shipments of products; and implementing internal policies and</p>
--	---

	engagement with service providers to reduce the GHG emissions related to business travel performed by employees.
(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Row 2

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abs 2
(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative
(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter	IGT-SBTi Press Release.pdf
(7.53.1.4) Target ambition	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.5°C aligned
(7.53.1.5) Date target was set	12/20/2021
(7.53.1.6) Target coverage	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organization-wide
(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon dioxide (CO2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Methane (CH4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nitrous oxide (N2O)
(7.53.1.8) Scopes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3
(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories	Select all that apply

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 6 – Business travel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 5 – Waste generated in operations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 11 – Use of sold products <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 12 – End-of-life treatment of sold products <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 8 - Upstream leased assets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 13 – Downstream leased assets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 9 – Downstream transportation and distribution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)
(7.53.1.11) End date of base year	12/30/2019
(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	832204
(7.53.1.16) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	15290.0
(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	33769.0
(7.53.1.18) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	25492.0

(7.53.1.19) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	13966.0
(7.53.1.21) Base year Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	2127.0
(7.53.1.22) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	9665.0
(7.53.1.24) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	910882.0
(7.53.1.25) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	56810.0
(7.53.1.26) Base year Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	77508.0
(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	1977713.000
(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)	1977713.000
(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)	100.0
(7.53.1.37) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related	100.0

activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)	100.0
(7.53.1.39) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)	100.0
(7.53.1.40) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)	100.0
(7.53.1.42) Base year Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)	100.0
(7.53.1.43) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)	100.0
(7.53.1.45) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)	100.0

(7.53.1.46) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)	100.0
(7.53.1.47) Base year Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)	100.0
(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)	100.0
(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes	100.0
(7.53.1.54) End date of target	12/30/2050
(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)	90
(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)	197771.300
(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	163584
(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	10203
(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and	4012

distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	
(7.53.1.63) Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	21317
(7.53.1.64) Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	8711
(7.53.1.66) Scope 3, Category 8: Upstream leased assets emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	5268
(7.53.1.67) Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	2648
(7.53.1.69) Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	28635
(7.53.1.70) Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	46209
(7.53.1.71) Scope 3, Category 13: Downstream leased assets emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	0
(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	290587.000
(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)	290587.000
(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year	94.79
(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Underway
(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions	<p>The Company increases its commitment to the fight against climate change by setting this SBT reduction target on Scope 3 emissions aiming for a 30% reduction by 2030 versus 2019, in line with the 1.5°C path. This target has already been validated by the Science Based Targets initiative in July 2023, and in August 2023 the Company published a dedicated communication in this regard, and the communication on the SBT website are available from August 3, 2023. Please note that categories 2 (Capital goods), 7 (Employee commuting) and 15 (Investments) were not included in the Abs 4 target, for reasons of implementing possible emission reduction initiatives and because they result residual compared to the other Scope 3 categories. International Game Technology PLC, doing business as Brightstar Lottery ("the Company"), completed the sale of its Gaming & Digital business to a holding company owned by funds managed by affiliates of Apollo Global Management, Inc. on July 1, 2025. Effective July 2, 2025, the Company began its operations under the name, Brightstar Lottery. SBTi will be revised accordingly.</p>
(7.53.1.83) Target objective	Climate change is recognized as an imminent threat to society and the

	<p>natural environment, necessitating a determined and responsible response. The impact of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from direct operations, as well as from upstream and downstream activities such as logistics, suppliers' operations, the use of sold products, and their end-of-life treatment, is acknowledged. In response to this, significant commitments have been made to reduce emissions. Science-based targets have been adopted to cut GHG emissions, ensuring that actions are aligned with scientific evidence and best environmental practices. These efforts are encapsulated in the decarbonization pathway project, which serves as a testament to the hard work and dedication invested in combating climate change. This decarbonization project is not merely a token of commitment but a central component of the Sustainability Plan, particularly within the "Climate Action, Biodiversity and Circularity" pillar. The plan highlights a collective determination to steer the industry towards a more sustainable future, embracing practices that minimize environmental impact and encourage responsible ecological advancement. By taking these concrete steps, a leadership role is assumed in the adoption of sustainable strategies, proving that business success and environmental stewardship can indeed go hand in hand.</p>
--	--

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year	This target is part of the Decarbonization Pathway, which started in 2021. The Commitment's commitment to the fight against climate change is represented by its decarbonization pathway project, a key part of the Company's Sustainability Plan under the pillar 'Climate Action, Biodiversity and Circularity.' In 2022, the first step of the the Company Decarbonization Pathway project involved completing the Company's inventory of GHG emissions, which for the first time included all applicable emissions occurring along the value chain (i.e., Scope 3 emissions), thus allowing the company to understand the full extent of its carbon footprint and provide a more comprehensive disclosure to its stakeholders. After joining the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) at the end of 2021, committing to reduce GHG emissions according to scientific evidence, the Company submitted near-term and net-zero science-based targets in October 2022. These were validated by the SBTi in July 2023, and the Company published a dedicated communication in this regard. The communication on the SBT website has been available since August 3, 2023. the Company's plans to meet this target may include the following: defining policies aimed at raising employee awareness of the use of resources; moving DCs located at the Company sites to Cloud Service and to co-location DCs committed to reducing
---	--

	<p>their GHG emissions; engaging suppliers to reduce the emissions related to electronic and energy-demanding products; implementing an eco-design studio to perform an LCA assessment and reduce the overall impact of the products sold by evaluating their entire life cycle; implementing better practices for outbound logistics to minimize the GHG emissions related to the shipments of products; and implementing internal policies and engagement with service providers to reduce the GHG emissions related to business travel performed by employees.</p>
(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>

Row 3

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abs 1</p>
(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative</p>
(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter	IGT-SBTi Press Release.pdf
(7.53.1.4) Target ambition	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.5°C aligned</p>
(7.53.1.5) Date target was set	12/20/2021
(7.53.1.6) Target coverage	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organization-wide</p>

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon dioxide (CO2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Methane (CH4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nitrous oxide (N2O)
(7.53.1.8) Scopes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 2
(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Market-based
(7.53.1.11) End date of base year	12/30/2019
(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	31960
(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	46602.0
(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	0.000
(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)	78562.000
(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1	100.0
(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2	100.0
(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes	100.0
(7.53.1.54) End date of target	12/30/2030
(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)	50

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)	39281.000
(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	18117
(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	19586
(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)	37703.000
(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)
(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year	104.02
(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Underway
(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions	The Company increases its commitment to the fight against climate change by setting this SBT reduction target on Scope 1 and Scope 2 (market-based) emissions aiming for a 50% reduction by 2030 versus 2019, in line with the 1.5°C path. This target has already been validated by the Science Based Targets initiative in July 2023. The Company published a dedicated communication in this regard, and the communication on the SBT website is available from August 3, 2023. International Game Technology PLC, doing business as Brightstar Lottery ("the Company"), completed the sale of its Gaming & Digital business to a holding company owned by funds

	<p>managed by affiliates of Apollo Global Management, Inc. on July 1, 2025. Effective July 2, 2025, the Company began its operations under the name, Brightstar Lottery. SBTi will be revised accordingly.</p>
<p>(7.53.1.83) Target objective</p>	<p>Climate change is recognized as an imminent threat to society and the natural environment, necessitating a determined and responsible response. The impact of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from direct operations, as well as from upstream and downstream activities such as logistics, suppliers' operations, the use of sold products, and their end-of-life treatment, is acknowledged. In response to this, significant commitments have been made to reduce emissions. Science-based targets have been adopted to cut GHG emissions, ensuring that actions are aligned with scientific evidence and best environmental practices. These efforts are encapsulated in the decarbonization pathway project, which serves as a testament to the hard work and dedication invested in combating climate change. This decarbonization project is not merely a token of commitment but a central component of the Sustainability Plan, particularly within the "Climate Action, Biodiversity and Circularity" pillar. The plan highlights a collective determination to steer the industry towards a more sustainable future, embracing practices that minimize environmental impact and encourage responsible ecological advancement.</p>

	<p>By taking these concrete steps, a leadership role is assumed in the adoption of sustainable strategies, proving that business success and environmental stewardship can indeed go hand in hand.</p>
<p>(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year</p>	<p>This Target is part of the Decarbonization Pathway, which started in 2021. The Company's commitment to the fight against climate change is represented by its decarbonization pathway project, a key part of the Company's Sustainability Plan under the pillar "Climate Action, Biodiversity and Circularity". In 2022, the first step of the the Company's Decarbonization Pathway project involved the completion of the Company's inventory of GHG emissions, which for the first time included all applicable emissions occurring along the value chain, thus allowing the Company to understand the full extent of its carbon footprint and provide a more comprehensive disclosure to its stakeholders. After joining the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) at the end of 2021, committing to reduce GHG emissions according to scientific evidence, the Company submitted near-term and net-zero science-based targets in October 2022. These last were validated by the SBTi in July 2023 and the Company published a dedicated communication in this regard, and the communication on the SBT website is available from August 3, 2023. The Company plans to meet this target may include the</p>

	<p>following: • Increasing the percentage of electricity coming from renewable sources over the total electricity consumption, by purchasing electricity certificates that guarantee the renewable source origin; • Increasing the production and self-consumption of green electricity, by integrating on-site renewable energy systems, where technically feasible; • Leveraging technological advancements to further advance efficiencies in buildings and processes; • Carrying on the electrification of the fleet. • Purchasing more efficient equipment; • Replacing refrigeration systems with machinery using low-GWP natural refrigerants (e.g., CO2, ammonia); • Defining policies aimed at raising employee awareness of the use of resources; • Moving DCs located in the Company sites to Cloud Service and to co-location DCs committed to reducing their GHG emissions. According to the planned initiatives to reduce Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions, the main ones implemented during 2023 are the following: - replacement of lamps with LED lamps - optimization management of consumption and Company's space management - consumption of electricity from renewable sources (i.e., through purchase of country-specific RECs; Self production of renewable energy (from photovoltaic panels).</p>
(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Row 4

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abs 3
(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative
(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter	IGT-SBTi Press Release.pdf
(7.53.1.4) Target ambition	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1.5°C aligned
(7.53.1.5) Date target was set	12/20/2021
(7.53.1.6) Target coverage	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organization-wide
(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon dioxide (CO2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Methane (CH4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nitrous oxide (N2O)
(7.53.1.8) Scopes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 2
(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Market-based
(7.53.1.11) End date of base year	12/30/2019
(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	31960.0
(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	466.02

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	0.000
(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)	78562.000
(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1	100.0
(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2	100.0
(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes	100.0
(7.53.1.54) End date of target	12/30/2050
(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)	90
(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)	7856.200
(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	18117
(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)	19586
(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)	37703.000
(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)
(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year	57.79
(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions	<p>The Company increases its commitment to the fight against climate change by setting this SBT reduction target on Scope 1 and Scope 2 (market-based) emissions aiming for a 90% reduction by 2050 versus 2019, in line with the 1.5°C path. This target has already been validated by the Science Based Targets initiative in July 2023. The Company published a dedicated communication in this regard, and the communication on the SBT website is available from August 3, 2023. International Game Technology PLC, doing business as Brightstar Lottery ("the Company"), completed the sale of its Gaming & Digital business to a holding company owned by funds managed by affiliates of Apollo Global Management, Inc. on July 1, 2025. Effective July 2, 2025, the Company began its operations under the name, Brightstar Lottery. SBTi will be revised accordingly.</p>
(7.53.1.83) Target objective	<p>Climate change is recognized as an imminent threat to society and the natural environment, necessitating a determined and responsible response. The impact of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from direct operations, as well as from upstream and downstream activities such as logistics, suppliers' operations, the use of sold products, and their end-of-life treatment, is acknowledged. In response to this, significant commitments have been made to reduce emissions. Science-based targets have been adopted to cut</p>

	<p>GHG emissions, ensuring that actions are aligned with scientific evidence and best environmental practices. These efforts are encapsulated in the decarbonization pathway project, which serves as a testament to the hard work and dedication invested in combating climate change. This decarbonization project is not merely a token of commitment but a central component of the Sustainability Plan, particularly within the "Climate Action, Biodiversity and Circularity" pillar. The plan highlights a collective determination to steer the industry towards a more sustainable future, embracing practices that minimize environmental impact and encourage responsible ecological advancement. By taking these concrete steps, a leadership role is assumed in the adoption of sustainable strategies, proving that business success and environmental stewardship can indeed go hand in hand.</p>
<p>(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year</p>	<p>This Target is part of the Decarbonization Pathway, which started in 2021. The Company's commitment to the fight against climate change is represented by its decarbonization pathway project, a key part of the Company's Sustainability Plan under the pillar "Climate Action, Biodiversity and Circularity". In 2022, the first step of the the Company's Decarbonization Pathway project involved the completion of the Company's inventory of GHG emissions, which for the first time</p>

	<p>included all applicable emissions occurring along the value chain, thus allowing the Company to understand the full extent of its carbon footprint and provide a more comprehensive disclosure to its stakeholders. After joining the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) at the end of 2021, committing to reduce GHG emissions according to scientific evidence, the Company submitted near-term and net-zero science-based targets in October 2022. These last were validated by the SBTi in July 2023 and the Company published a dedicated communication in this regard, and the communication on the SBT website is available from August 3, 2023. The Company plans to meet this target may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Increasing the percentage of electricity coming from renewable sources over the total electricity consumption, by purchasing electricity certificates that guarantee the renewable source origin;• Increasing the production and self-consumption of green electricity, by integrating on-site renewable energy systems, where technically feasible;• Leveraging technological advancements to further advance efficiencies in buildings and processes;• Carrying on the electrification of the fleet.• Purchasing more efficient equipment;• Replacing refrigeration systems with machinery using low-GWP natural refrigerants (e.g., CO₂, ammonia);• Defining policies aimed at raising employee awareness of the use of resources;•
--	---

	<p>Moving DCs located in the Company sites to Cloud Service and to co-location DCs committed to reducing their GHG emissions. According to the planned initiatives to reduce Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions, the main ones implemented during 2023 are the following: - replacement of lamps with LED lamps - optimization management of consumption and Company's space management - consumption of electricity from renewable sources (i.e., through purchase of country-specific RECs; Self production of renewable energy (from photovoltaic panels).</p>
<p>(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach</p>	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Net-zero targets

(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).

Row 1

<p>(7.54.3.1) Target reference number</p>	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NZ1</p>
<p>(7.54.3.2) Date target was set</p>	<p>12/30/2021</p>
<p>(7.54.3.3) Target Coverage</p>	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Organization-wide</p>
<p>(7.54.3.4) Targets linked to this net zero target</p>	<p>Select all that apply</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abs1</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abs2</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abs3</p>

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abs4
(7.54.3.5) End date of target for achieving net zero	12/30/2050
(7.54.3.6) Is this a science-based target?	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative
(7.54.3.7) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter	IGT-SBTi Press Release.pdf
(7.54.3.8) Scopes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 3
(7.54.3.9) Greenhouse gases covered by target	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon dioxide (CO2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Methane (CH4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nitrous oxide (N2O)
(7.54.3.10) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions	The Company commits to reduce absolute Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions 50% by 2030 from 2019 base year and reduce absolute Scope 3 GHG emissions 30% within the same timeframe. Moreover, the Company commits to reduce absolute Scope 1 and Scope 2 GHG emissions 90% by 2050 from 2019 base year and reduce absolute Scope 3 GHG emissions 90% within the same timeframe. The Company is also committed to reach Net-Zero by 2050, thus offsetting the residual 10% of emissions. This target has already been validated by the Science Based Targets initiative in July 2023. The Company published a dedicated communication in this

	<p>regard, and the communication on the SBT is available from August 3, 2023. Please note that the Italian B2C gaming machine, sports betting, and digital gaming business was sold in 2020, therefore the Scope 1 and Scope 2 related emissions were excluded from the base year emissions perimeter, according to the SBTi methodology. Concerning Scope 3 emissions, please note that categories 2 (Capital goods), 7 (Employee commuting) and 15 (Investments) were not included in the Scope 3 target, for reasons of implementing possible emission reduction initiatives and because they result residual compared to the other Scope 3 categories.</p>
(7.54.3.11) Target objective	<p>Climate change is recognized as an imminent threat to society and the natural environment, necessitating a determined and responsible response. The impact of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from direct operations, as well as from upstream and downstream activities such as logistics, suppliers' operations, the use of sold products, and their end-of-life treatment, is acknowledged. In response to this, significant commitments have been made to reduce emissions. Science-based targets have been adopted to cut GHG emissions, ensuring that actions are aligned with scientific evidence and best environmental practices. These efforts are encapsulated in the decarbonization pathway project, which serves as a testament to the hard work and dedication invested in</p>

	<p>combating climate change. This decarbonization project is not merely a token of commitment but a central component of the Sustainability Plan, particularly within the "Climate Action, Biodiversity and Circularity" pillar. The plan highlights a collective determination to steer the industry towards a more sustainable future, embracing practices that minimize environmental impact and encourage responsible ecological advancement. By taking these concrete steps, a leadership role is assumed in the adoption of sustainable strategies, proving that business success and environmental stewardship can indeed go hand in hand.</p>
<p>(7.54.3.12) Do you intend to neutralize any residual emissions with permanent carbon removals at the end of the target?</p>	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
<p>(7.54.3.13) Do you plan to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain?</p>	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we do not plan to mitigate emissions beyond our value chain</p>
<p>(7.54.3.14) Do you intend to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization and/or beyond value chain mitigation?</p>	<p>Select all that apply</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we plan to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization at the end of the target</p>
<p>(7.54.3.15) Planned milestones and/or near-term investments for neutralization at the end of the target</p>	<p>The Company plans to offset the remaining 10% of its emissions by 2050 through various initiatives. Among these are: investing in renewable energy projects, such as the installation of wind or solar power plants, either by directly funding new projects or by purchasing renewable energy</p>

	<p>certificates (RECs) that support the development of renewable energy infrastructure; engaging in reforestation or afforestation efforts, planting trees to absorb CO2 from the atmosphere; investing in energy efficiency projects that reduce energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions, such as upgrading facilities with high-efficiency lighting, heating, and cooling systems or supporting the transition to more efficient industrial processes; supporting carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies; purchasing carbon credits from verified carbon offset projects. The Company will ensure that the carbon offset projects it supports are credible, verifiable, and result in real, permanent, and additional emission reductions.</p>
(7.54.3.17) Target status in reporting year	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Underway</p>
(7.54.3.19) Process for reviewing target	<p>The Company monitors progress against its GHG emission reduction targets by calculating its GHG emission inventory annually. To carry out this assessment, The Company collects data on operations and activities that occur along its value chain, including the collection of site-specific information related primarily to the energy consumption of the Company's assets and its company fleet with the support of dedicated platforms. Data regarding activities that occur along the Company's value chain are collected at the Group level with the support of dedicated functions (e.g., logistics and</p>

	<p>procurement). International Game Technology PLC, doing business as Brightstar Lottery ("the Company"), completed the sale of its Gaming & Digital business to a holding company owned by funds managed by affiliates of Apollo Global Management, Inc. on July 1, 2025. Effective July 2, 2025, the Company began its operations under the name, Brightstar Lottery. SBTi will be revised accordingly.</p>
--	---

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	0	'Numeric input'
To be implemented	0	0
Implemented commenced	0	0
Implemented	2	1914.5
Not to be implemented	0	'Numeric input'

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type	Low-carbon energy consumption <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low-carbon electricity mix
(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)	1906
(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 2 (market-based)
(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Voluntary
(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)	0
(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)	155145
(7.55.2.7) Payback period	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4-10 years
(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <1 year
(7.55.2.9) Comment	The Company purchased, as part of agreements with energy providers, a number of Guarantees of Origin to certify that almost 100% of the electricity consumed by its facilities in Premstatten, Austria; Moncton, Canada; Belgrade, Serbia; and the Italian sites comes from renewable sources. This will, in turn, contribute to its emission reduction efforts and help the company achieve its science-based targets. The Guarantees of Origin certificates covered an amount of 10,064 MWh. The investment required was calculated by considering the average cost of kWh in 2024 for the

	countries in which the company purchased the Guarantees of Origin.
--	--

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type	Energy efficiency in production processes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Smart control system
(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)	7.5
(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope 1
(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Voluntary
(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)	246600
(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)	133000
(7.55.2.7) Payback period	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1-3 years
(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ongoing
(7.55.2.9) Comment	Throughout 2024, the Company has been developing its next generation of customer services, MySelf project, which includes new tools and processes to support remote diagnosis to lower physical engineer dispatch rate. The project saw an optimization of the number of interventions completed by service technicians at the point of sale, by increasing the effectiveness of remote troubleshooting of all devices installed in the stores via the website

	<p>and mobile app, without field interventions. At the end of 2023, an evolution was introduced that integrated the self-maintenance of the terminal software, which allows the terminal to repair itself autonomously through recovery and self-loading procedures in the event of software damage. This tool proved successful in 2024, reducing field interventions by approximately 2-3%</p>
--	--

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial optimization calculations</p>
(7.55.3.2) Comment	<p>Projects carried out every year are chosen on a financial basis, in order to identify initiatives which enable the Company to achieve both energy and economic savings.</p>

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other :The Company has implemented a series of emission reduction initiatives in order to achieve the public emission reduction targets, validated by the SBTi.</p>
(7.55.3.2) Comment	<p>The Company has implemented a series of emission reduction initiatives in order to achieve the public emission reduction targets, validated by the SBTi.</p>

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

No

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

Yes

(7.79.1) Provide details of the project-based carbon credits retired by your organization in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.79.1.1) Project type	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Afforestation
(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon removal
(7.79.1.3) Project description	In 2022, the Company launched the IGT Forest by planting 1,900 trees through Treedom, comprising a diverse mix of mango, papaya, coffee, avocado, cashew, and white mangrove species. These trees were planted across eight countries: Tanzania, Cameroon, Colombia, Ghana, Guatemala, Ecuador, Kenya, and Madagascar. In June 2025, a site was created for Brightstar Lottery and the forest of 1,000 trees that were associated with lottery were moved from the IGT Treedom site to allow the existing 133 keepers to be able to access their gifted trees. In 2024, the first participants of sustainability trainings were rewarded with the planting of a tree through the Treedom platform, forming the

	foundation of the inaugural Retailers' Forest. The planting of 500 diverse tree species in Ecuador not only contributed to reforestation but also supported the socio-economic development of local communities. The initiative helped establish a small-scale coffee and chocolate production chain, while also equipping local farmers with new agricultural skills.
(7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	138.25
(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Voluntary offsetting
(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Purchased
(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not issued by a program
(7.79.1.14) Please explain	For additional information about the Treedom initiative, please refer to the following link: https://www.treedom.net/en/forest/brightstar

Row 2

(7.79.1.1) Project type	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Clean cookstove distribution
(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emissions reduction
(7.79.1.3) Project description	As part of its long-term sustainability strategy, the Company has partnered

	<p>with Up2You, a certified B Corp, to offset the environmental impact of its events through Gold Standard-certified climate solutions. One of the key initiatives supported is the Makera Forest Cook Stove Project in Rwanda. By distributing energy-efficient cookstoves to more than 60,000 low-income families, the project significantly reduces greenhouse gas emissions and indoor air pollution. This not only protects health, especially among women and children, but also promotes gender equity, as it frees up valuable time previously spent collecting firewood. From an environmental perspective, the initiative helps protect the Ibanda-Makera Forest, one of Rwanda's last remaining natural ecosystems, through the planting of over 110,000 native trees. This effort contributes to biodiversity preservation, carbon sequestration, and long-term ecosystem resilience.</p>
(7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)	9493
(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Voluntary offsetting
(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Purchased
(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not issued by a program

<p>(7.79.1.14) Please explain</p>	<p>The credits retired reported refer to the overall Up2You project - there is no indication of the Company's share of it. For more information, please refer to the following link: https://www.u2y.io/en/progetti/ibanda-makera-forest-cookstove-project</p>
--	--

C9. Environmental performance - Water security
(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

No

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?
Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%
(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monthly
(9.2.3) Method of measurement	Data collection site by site + Estimate for smaller sites
(9.2.4) Please explain	The Company conducts a monthly data collection related to water withdrawal, discharge, and consumption. The collected data are presented annually (also by source/destination) to stakeholders in the Company's Sustainability Report. Where, in the case of smaller sites, the Company is unable to collect water data, an estimate is made in order to cover the entire scope.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%
(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement	Data collection site by site + Estimate for smaller sites
(9.2.4) Please explain	The Company conducts a monthly data collection related to water withdrawal, discharge, and consumption. The collected data are presented annually (also by source/destination) to stakeholders in the Company's Sustainability Report. Where, in the case of smaller sites, the Company is unable to collect water data, an estimate is made in order to cover the entire scope.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
(9.2.4) Please explain	Given the type of water use by the Company (primarily related to its cafeterias, restrooms, washrooms, office purposes, and silkscreen printing activities), the company is not a major user of water. For this reason, the Company currently does not conduct formalized and in-depth analysis on water withdrawal quality

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%
(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monthly
(9.2.3) Method of measurement	Data collection site by site + Estimate for smaller sites

(9.2.4) Please explain	<p>The Company conducts a monthly data collection related to water withdrawal, discharge, and consumption. The collected data are presented annually (also by source/destination) to stakeholders in the Company's Sustainability Report. Where, in the case of smaller sites, the Company is unable to collect water data, an estimate is made in order to cover the entire scope.</p>
-------------------------------	---

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations	<p>Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%</p>
(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement	<p>Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monthly</p>
(9.2.3) Method of measurement	<p>Data collection site by site + Estimate for smaller sites</p>
(9.2.4) Please explain	<p>The Company conducts a monthly data collection related to water withdrawal, discharge, and consumption. The collected data are presented annually (also by source/destination) to stakeholders in the Company's Sustainability Report. Where, in the case of smaller sites, the Company is unable to collect water data, an estimate is made in order to cover the entire scope.</p>

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations	<p>Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant</p>
---	---

(9.2.4) Please explain	<p>Given the type of water use by the Company (primarily related to its cafeterias, restrooms, washrooms, office purposes, and silkscreen printing activities), the Company is not a major user of water. For this reason, the Company currently does not conduct formalized and in-depth analysis on water discharge's treatment method</p>
-------------------------------	--

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations	<p>Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant</p>
(9.2.4) Please explain	<p>Given the type of water use by the Company (primarily related to its cafeterias, restrooms, washrooms, office purposes, and silkscreen printing activities), the company is not a major user of water. For this reason, the Company currently does not conduct formalized and in-depth analysis on water discharge's quality by standard effluent parameters.</p>

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations	<p>Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant</p>
(9.2.4) Please explain	<p>Given the type of water use by the Company (primarily related to its cafeterias, restrooms, washrooms, office purposes, and silkscreen printing activities), the company is not a major user of water. For this reason, the Company currently does not conduct</p>

	formalized and in-depth analysis on water discharge's quality and about emission to water of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other
--	---

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
(9.2.4) Please explain	Given the type of water use by the Company (primarily related to its cafeterias, restrooms, washrooms, office purposes, and silkscreen printing activities), the company is not a major user of water. For this reason, the Company currently does not conduct formalized and in-depth analysis on water discharge's quality and about temperature.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%
(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monthly
(9.2.3) Method of measurement	Data collection site by site + Estimate for smaller sites
(9.2.4) Please explain	The Company conducts a monthly data collection related to water withdrawal, discharge, and consumption. The collected data are presented annually (also by source/destination) to stakeholders in the Company's Sustainability Report. Where, in the case of smaller sites, the Company is

	unable to collect water data, an estimate is made in order to cover the entire scope.
--	---

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
(9.2.4) Please explain	Given the type of water use by the Company (primarily related to its cafeterias, restrooms, washrooms, office purposes, and silkscreen printing activities), the Company is not a major user of water. Moreover, at the moment, the Company does not have any active water recycling/reuse systems.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100%
(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers is verified periodically onsite
(9.2.3) Method of measurement	Verified periodically onsite
(9.2.4) Please explain	The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers is verified periodically onsite.

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)	121.54
(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> About the same
(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Considering that in the coming years the Company will conduct its business activities in continuity with what has been done in the current year, no significant change in the amount of water withdrawal, discharge, consumption is expected in the future
(9.2.2.6) Please explain	Considering that in the coming years the Company will conduct its business activities in continuity with what has been done in the current year, no significant change in the amount of water withdrawal, discharge, consumption is expected in the future.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)	111.97
(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water discharges is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> About the same
(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Considering that in the coming years the Company will conduct its business activities in continuity with what has been done in the current year, no significant change in the amount of water withdrawal, discharge, consumption is expected in the future
(9.2.2.6) Please explain	Considering that in the coming years the Company will conduct its business activities in continuity with what has been done in the current year, no significant change in the amount of water withdrawal, discharge, consumption is expected in the future.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)	9.56
(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water consumption is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> About the same
(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Considering that in the coming years the Company will conduct its business activities in continuity with what has been done in the current year, no significant change in the amount of water withdrawal, discharge, consumption is expected in the future
(9.2.2.6) Please explain	Considering that in the coming years the Company will conduct its business activities in continuity with what has been done in the current year, no significant change in the amount of water withdrawal, discharge, consumption is expected in the future.

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)	63.96
(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> About the same
(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Considering that in the coming years the Company will conduct its business activities in continuity with what has been done in the current year, no significant change in the amount of water withdrawal, discharge, consumption is expected in the future
(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress	52.62
(9.2.4.8) Identification tool	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain	The decrease in water withdrawal from areas with water stress is due to the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, and so the reporting boundaries of total water consumption is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
--------------------------	--

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant
(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	0.15
(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.7.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant
(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	0

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.7.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
(9.2.7.5) Please explain	In 2024 the Company did not use water from this type of source.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant
(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	0.97
(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is

	limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.7.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
(9.2.7.5) Please explain	In 2024 the Company did not use water from this type of source.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	120.4
(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.7.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant
(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	4.78
(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.7.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant
(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	0
(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is

	limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.7.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

roundwater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant
(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	1.02
(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.7.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.7.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant
(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	106.17

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.7.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.
Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant
(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	4.78
(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.8.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water

	withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
--	---

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant
(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	4.78
(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.8.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant
(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	0
(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting

	boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.8.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant
(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	1.02
(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.8.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant
(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)	106.17

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Much lower
(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.
(9.2.8.5) Please explain	Following the divestment of the Gaming & Digital business of IGT PLC, the reporting boundaries of total water withdrawals is limited to the Brightstar Lottery perimeter.

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified	1
(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Less than 1%
(9.3.4) Please explain	Since 2023 the Company conducted a climate-related physical risk assessment based on Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) and

	<p>Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) climate scenarios derived from the IPCC. The comprehensive climate-related physical risk assessment involved the identification of and exposure to potential physical risk associated with climate change, such as heatwaves, drought, and other extreme weather events, and which may manifest with acute or chronic effects, depending on their magnitude and timeframe. To streamline this process, the Company undertook a careful selection of physical risks that could potentially affect key assets, with geographic location serving as a key criteria and assessed the Company's exposure to physical risks on 8 key sites. These direct operations-related sites (including production facilities, data centers and office sites) were selected based on a set of pre-determined criteria that considered the number of employees on-site, the size of the facility, the energy consumption levels, and its strategic relevance to the Company. After identifying the main physical risks, the Company evaluated the potential financial impact stemming from physical climate-related risks on the Company's key assets. Between the climate-related physical risks the Company identified a risk related to riverine floods. Riverine flooding can cause damage to buildings and equipment. In areas where flooding is frequent, companies may need to invest in additional infrastructure and protections to mitigate the risks</p>
--	---

	<p>associated with flooding. The Company's facility in Rome (Italy) may be exposed to risk of river flooding because of its location near the Tiber river. The mitigation initiatives that may be evaluated will help the Company increase the protection of its assets and equipment, and help the Company to mitigate the potential costs associated with the risk of riverine floods.</p>
--	--

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility 1</p>
(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)	Roma Campo Boario 56/d
(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Direct operations</p>
(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility	<p>Select all that apply</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks</p>
(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, withdrawals and discharges</p>
(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin	Italy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify :Tevere
(9.3.1.8) Latitude	41.873796
(9.3.1.9) Longitude	12.476827
(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress	<p>Select from:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p>
(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)	4.49

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Higher
(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes	0
(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater	0
(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable	0
(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable	0
(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water	0
(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources	4.49
(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)	4.04
(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Higher
(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water	0
(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater	0
(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater	0
(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations	4.04
(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)	0.45
(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Higher
(9.3.1.29) Please explain	In 2023 the Company conducted a climate-related physical risk assessment based on Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) and Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) climate scenarios derived from

	<p>the IPCC. The comprehensive climate-related physical risk assessment involved the identification of and exposure to potential physical risk associated with climate change, such as heatwaves, drought, and other extreme weather events, and which may manifest with acute or chronic effects, depending on their magnitude and timeframe. To streamline this process, the Company undertook a careful selection of physical risks that could potentially affect key assets, with geographic location serving as a key criteria and assessed the exposure to physical risks on 8 key sites. These direct operations-related sites (including production facilities, data centers and office sites) were selected based on a set of pre-determined criteria that considered the number of employees on-site, the size of the facility, the energy consumption levels, and its strategic relevance to the Company. After identifying the main physical risks, the Company evaluated the potential financial impact stemming from physical climate-related risks on key assets. Between the climate-related physical risks the Company identified a risk related to riverine floods. Riverine flooding can cause damage to buildings and equipment. In areas where flooding is frequent, companies may need to invest in additional infrastructure.</p>
--	--

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 76-100
(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used	GRI - ISAE 3000

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 76-100
(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used	GRI - ISAE 3000

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameter

(9.3.2.1) % verified	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
(9.3.2.3) Please explain	Given the type of water use by the Company (primarily related to its cafeterias, restrooms, washrooms, office purposes, and silkscreen printing activities), the Company is not a major user of water. For this reason, the Company currently does not conduct formalized and in-depth analysis on water withdrawal quality

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 76-100
(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used	GRI - ISAE 3000

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 76-100
(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used	GRI - ISAE 3000

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 76-100
(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used	GRI - ISAE 3000

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
(9.3.2.3) Please explain	Given the type of water use by the Company (primarily related to its cafeterias, restrooms, washrooms, office purposes, and silkscreen printing activities), the Company is not a major user of water. For this reason, the Company currently does not conduct formalized and in-depth analysis on water discharge's final treatment level

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
(9.3.2.3) Please explain	Given the type of water use by the Company (primarily related to its cafeterias, restrooms, washrooms, office purposes, and silkscreen printing activities), the Company is not a major

	<p>user of water. For this reason, the Company currently does not conduct formalized and in-depth analysis on water discharge's final treatment level</p>
--	---

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 76-100
(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used	GRI - ISAE 3000

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)	2512000
(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency	20668.09
(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend	The revenue value is reported in US dollars. Considering that in the coming years the Company will conduct its business activities in continuity with what has been done in the current year, no significant change in water withdrawal efficiency is expected in the future.

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

(9.13.1) Products contain hazardous substances	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
(9.13.2) Comment	The Company's products comply with the jurisdictional regulations where the Company places such products. For example, in compliance with the European Union Directive on Restrictions on Use of Hazardous Substances (RoHS) in Electrical and Electronic Equipment (2015/863/EU),

	the Company manufactures RoHS compliant machines for European distribution.
--	---

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years
(9.14.3) Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Judged to be unimportant, explanation provided
(9.14.4) Please explain	The Company does not use large quantities of water in its production processes. Consequently, no product/service offered by the Company has a high water impact

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

No, but we plan to within the next two years

(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?

(9.15.3.1) Primary reason	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> We are planning to introduce a target within the next two years
(9.15.3.2) Please explain	Given the type of water use by the Company (primarily related to its cafeterias, restrooms, washrooms, office purposes, and silkscreen printing activities), the Company is not a major user of water. For this reason, the

	<p>Company has not already set any water-related target so far. Nevertheless, the Company have already planned to introduce a water-related target within the next two years.</p>
--	--

C11. Environmental performance – Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we are not taking any action to progress our biodiversity-related commitments
--	---

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

Select from:

No

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	<i>The Company has not yet performed an assessment of its sites located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity.</i>
UNESCO World Heritage Sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	<i>The Company has not yet performed an assessment of its sites located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity.</i>
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	<i>The Company has not yet performed an</i>

		<i>assessment of its sites located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity.</i>
Ramsar Sites	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	<i>The Company has not yet performed an assessment of its sites located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity.</i>
Key Biodiversity Areas	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	<i>The Company has not yet performed an assessment of its sites located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity.</i>
Other areas important for biodiversity	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not assessed	<i>The Company has not yet performed an assessment of its sites located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity.</i>

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
---	--

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured	Introduction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other data point in module 1, please specify :Revenues
(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard	General standards <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SGS Sustainability Report Assurance
(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process	PwC audited the financial statements, included within the Annual Report and Accounts, that comprise: the consolidated Balance Sheet, the Parent Balance Sheet, the Consolidated Statement of Operations, the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income, the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow, the Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity, and the Parent Statement of Shareholders' Equity. The level of verification provided is: Reasonable Assurance. The audit conducted full scope over three components in which the group has significant operations (Rome, Italy and Las Vegas, Nevada and Providence, Rhode Island,, US) and a full audit of the parent. Revenues audited in the UK Annual Reports and Accounts 2024 (pg. 93-99)
(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)	2024-UK-Reports-and-Accounts.pdf

Row 2

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured	Environmental performance – Consolidation approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consolidation approach
(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard	General standards <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SGS Sustainability Report Assurance
(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process	EY has been engaged by the Company to perform a Limited Assurance of the Sustainability Report. Consolidation method and scope verified in the IGT Sustainability Report 2023 (pg. 146-147)
(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)	IGT - Sustainability Report 2023-compressed.pdf

13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

Additional information	<i>N/A</i>
------------------------	------------

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title	Sr. VP, Branding, Communications and Sustainability
(13.3.2) Corresponding job category	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other C-Suite Officer

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

No